What Is the Meaning of Tongues?

Introduction:

- 1) What does it SAY?
 - a) The word *tongue* (*glossa*) is used 163x in the Greek OT (LXX), with the same three aspects as the NT.
 - b) The word *tongue* (*glossa*) is used @ 50x in the NT with three primary aspects.
 - i) Refers to the physical tongue, the organ of speech and taste:
 - (1) Gospels: Mark 7:33, 35; Lk. 1:64; 16:24
 - (2) Acts: 2:3
 - (3) Epistles: James 1:26; 3:5, 6, 8; Rev. 16:10
 - ii) Refers to regular verbal communication:
 - (1) Acts: 2:26
 - (2) Epistles: Rom. 3:13; 14:11; 1 Cor. 14:9; Phil. 2:11; 1 Pet. 3:10; 1 John 3:18
 - iii) Refers to a known common language:
 - (1) Gospels: Mark 16:17
 - (2) Acts: 2:4, 11; 10:46; 19:6
 - (3) Epistles: 1 Cor. 12:10 (2x), 28, 30; 13:1, 8; 14:2, 4, 5 (2x), 6, 13, 14, 18, 19, 22, 23, 26, 27, 39; Rev. 5:9; 7:9; 10:11; 11:9; 13:7; 14:6; 17:15
 - c) A related word is *language* (*dialektos*), which is found 6x in the NT.
 - i) Refers to a known common language:
 - (1) Acts 1:19; 2:6, 8; 21:40; 22:2; 26:14

2) What does it MEAN?

- a) Most people understand the first two aspects without any problem.
- b) Some people misunderstand the third aspect.
 - i) Some argue that *glossa* refers to both a known common language and to strange, ecstatic speech (gibberish).
 - (1) This is the position of the relatively new position of continuationism or Pentecostalism and some within the New Calvinism.
 - (a) This view has attempted to gain credibility in the last 100 years.
 - (2) This divides Christianity into at least two groups: the haves and the have-nots.
 - (3) This undermines the doctrine of the finality, sufficiency, and authority of Scripture because it argues that "something more" is necessary.
 - ii) Others argue that *glossa* refers only to a known common language.
 - (1) This view has been the orthodox position since NT times.

3) How does it APPLY?

- a) The first two aspects are not a source of contention among Christians today.
- b) The third aspect is a source of contention among Christians today.

- i) Does the normal use of the third aspect of *glossa* fit comfortably in the context of each passage?
 - (1) This would argue against the gibberish-continuationism position.
- ii) Do we have any sound theological reason to reject the long-standing historical interpretation of the third aspect of *glossa*?
 - (1) This would argue against the gibberish-continuationism position.
 - (2) We at Calvary stand comfortably within the cessationism camp
- c) First Corinthians 13:8 tells us that tongues will cease or "stop by themselves." (The verb form is a future middle indicative.)
 - i) Chrysostom (died 407 AD) said that miraculous spiritual gifts (including tongues) had ceased by his time.
 - (1) This whole place is very obscure: but the obscurity is produced by our ignorance of the facts referred to and by their cessation, being such as then used to occur but now no longer take place. (Saint Chrysostom: Homilies on the Epistles of Paul to the Corinthians (Homily 29, vol. 12, p. 168.))
 - ii) During the next 1,800 years, only a small number of instances are recorded of any "speaking in tongues". These consistently were something other than known languages.
 - iii) It was not until the Azusa Street Mission in 1906 that the modern charismatic-Pentecostal movement began.
 - (1) Some would argue that it goes back slightly further to Charles Parham in Topeka, KS, in 1900.
- d) One resource (among many) that may be helpful for you is *Charismatic Chaos* by John MacArthur.