Sat, Apr 28, 2018

The Biblical Argument for the Rebuilding of Babylon

by Charles Dyer

Why examine the biblical argument for the rebuilding of Babylon at the Pre-Trib Study Group? Apart from the fact that both topics explore issues in eschatology, is there any common ground?

Print this page

Series: Articles

The Biblical Argument for the Rebuilding of Babylon

Dr. Charles Dyer

Introduction

Why examine the biblical argument for the rebuilding of Babylon at the Pre-Trib Study Group? Apart from the fact that both topics explore issues in eschatology, is there any common ground? It is this author's opinion that the hermeneutical issues surrounding Babylon are the same issues that affect dispensationalism and pretribulationalism. The approach one uses to interpret biblical prophecy lies at the heart of both topics.

For most of Scripture conservative evangelicals argue for historical, grammatical, literal interpretation.[1]

However, when they come to "prophetic" passages many change their hermeneutical approach.[2] Dispensationalists and pretribulationists have argued that the best approach is to begin with the Old Testament passage itself and to determine the meaning of the passage in its original historical context. Is the passage pointing toward the future? If so, to what is it pointing? It is the consistent use of the literal, historical method of interpretation that has resulted in dispensationalists distinguishing between Israel and the church and accepting a pretribulation rapture of the church before God resumes His program with Israel (Dan. 9:27).

Though dispensationalists believe in literal interpretation, no one wants to be accused of being a "wooden literalist." Literal interpretation allows for figures of speech and symbolic language, and all who claim to interpret literally still interpret some passages, images. or events symbolically.[3] Still, one person's "symbol" is another person's literal prediction. And it is the differences in interpreting specific symbols that often determines one's position on eschatological events such as a pretribulational rapture.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the biblical prophecies relating to the rebuilding of Babylon. However, a larger goal of this study is to explore the issue of literal interpretation as it relates to Babylon. The paper will attempt to ask and answer three questions on the prophecies concerning Babylon.

Why Do Protestants Interpret Babylon Spiritually?

#### The Influence of Luther and Calvin

The Reformation marked a turning point in interpreting Scripture. Luther and Calvin broke with the allegorical method that had dominated the church since Jerome and Augustine[4] and began interpreting Scripture in its grammatical! historical context. That is not to say that these early reformers were able to make a clean break with the allegorical method of interpretation. However, they did champion the grammatical/historical method for forming doctrine. Luther summarized his distinction between using the allegorical method for illustration and using the grammatical/historical method for interpretation.

Let us forewarn here concerning allegory that it may be handled wisely in the Spirit. For playing games with the Sacred Scriptures has the most injurious consequences if the text and its grammar are neglected. From history we must learn well and much, but little from allegory. You use allegory as embellishment by which the discourse is illustrated but not established. Let history remain honest. It teaches, which allegory does not do. But this is what it means to teach: to instruct the conscience about what and how it should know, to nourish faith and the fear of God. In history you have the fulfillment of either promises or threats. Allegory does not pertain to doctrine, but to doctrine already established it can be added as color. The painter's color does not build the house .... Even so faith is not established by means of allegories.[5]

Luther's dedication to the historical meaning of the text resulted in his understanding of the doctrine of justification by faith. However, Luther was also a product of his times. In the midst of his struggles with Rome he was convinced that his present conflict had been predicted by the prophets.

The appearance of the church under the papacy was exceedingly wretched. It has now revived again, and I am

of the opinion that the last three woes in the Apocalypse have now passed and better times are beginning. I know for sure that this age, in which we now are, is better than the age in which the Jews were living at the time of Christ. However, the saying of Christ, "Then there will be great tribulation, such as has not been from the beginning" [Matt. 24:211, I understand to apply to the tribulation of the godly and not to the tribulation of the world when the pope persecuted the church. "If those days had not been shortened," the passage continues, "no human being would be saved" [Matt. 24:221. This means that if our Lord God hadn't intervened through the gospel, the pope would have destroyed everything, and the gospel and the sacraments would have been lost together with the Holy Scriptures. Although there were great scandals among the Jews, under the papacy it was worse. For in former times only one people was thrown into confusion, but under the papacy the whole world was unsettled. "He takes his seat in the temple of God" [II Thess. 2:41. However, as I have said, the church is better off now than it was then.[6]

Luther's willingness to employ an allegorical or spiritual interpretation coupled with his belief that the prophecies of the end times were unfolding in his day led him to find specific references to the pope and the Roman Catholic Church-in the Antichrist and Babylon!

But we, because we flee from and avoid all such deviltry and novelty and hold fast once more to the ancient church, the virgin and pure bride of Christ-we are certainly the true and ancient church, without any whoredom or innovation. This [Roman] church has therefore, remained till now, and it is out of it that we have come. Indeed, we have been born anew of it as the Galatians were of St. Paul [Gal. 4:19]. We too were formerly stuck in the behind of this hellish whore, this new church of the pope. We supported it in all earnestness, so that we regret having spent so much time and energy in that vile hole. But God be praised and thanked that he rescued us from the scarlet whore [Revelation 17].[7]

No man can believe what an abomination the papacy is. A Christian does not have to be of low intelligence, either, to recognize it. God himself must deride him in the hellish fire, and our Lord Jesus Christ, St. Paul says in II Thessalonians 2 [:8], "will slay him with the breath of his mouth and destroy him by his glorious coming." I only deride, with my weak derision, so that those who now live and those who will come after us should know what I have thought of the pope, the damned Antichrist, and so that whoever wishes to be a Christian may be warned against such an abomination.[8]

Calvin, born 26 years after Luther, carried the Reformation in new directions with the publication of his Institutes of the Christian Religion in 1536. Calvin adopted Luther's view that the pope was the Antichrist and the Roman Catholic Church was Babylon.

Therefore, while we are unwilling simply to concede the name of the Church to the Papists, we do not deny that there are churches among them. The question we raise only related to the true and legitimate constitution of the Church, implying communion in sacred rites, which are the signs of profession, and especially in doctrine. Daniel and Paul foretold that Antichrist would sit in the temple of God (Dan. ix. 27; 2 Thess. ii. 4); we regard the Roman Pontiff as the leader and standard-bearer of that wicked and abominable kingdom. By placing his seat in the temple of God, it is intimated that his kingdom would not be such as to destroy the name either of Christ or his Church. Hence, then, it is obvious that we do not at all deny that churches remain under this tyranny; churches, however, which by sacrilegious impiety he has profaned, by cruel domination has oppressed, by evil and deadly doctrines like poisoned potions has corrupted and almost slain; churches where Christ lies half-buried, the gospel is suppressed, piety is put to flight, and the worship of God almost abolished; where, in short, all things are in such disorder as to present the appearance of Babylon rather than the holy city of God.[9]

# The Historical Assumptions about Babylon's Fall

While Luther and Calvin saw a prophetic application of Babylon to Rome, both based their identification primarily on the "Babylonian harlot" of Revelation 17. As near as can be determined, both Luther and Calvin assumed the Old Testament prophecies of Babylon's fall were fulfilled historically when Babylon fell to Cyrus in 539 B.C. Luther identified the army

being mustered together for war against Babylon in Isaiah 13:4 as "the soldiery and armed host of the Persians and Medes."[10] When Isaiah predicted that the Jews would return to the land after Babylon's fall (Isa. 14:1-4), Luther says, "All these things were done under Cyrus, king of the Persians, who permitted the Jews conquered by the Babylonians to return to their own land."[11]

Most Protestant interpreters since Luther and Calvin have followed them in assuming that the Old Testament prophecies of Babylon were fulfilled historically. McDowell listed a series of eight specific prophecies on the destruction of Babylon from the Old Testament, and he concludes by stating categorically, "All eight predictions

have been fulfilled."[12] Even critical scholars associate the various Old Testament "prophecies" with the fall of Babylon to Cyrus.[13] Certainly the consensus of opinion is that the Old Testament prophecies were fulfilled historically when Babylon fell to Cyrus. What Did Happen to Babylon Historically?

Before examining the specific Old and New Testament prophecies about Babylon, one must first know the history of this city. The second section of this paper will trace Babylon's history from the time of Isaiah through today. The goal of this section is to use all available historical sources to answer the question: What did happen to Babylon historically? **Babylon's Temporary Destruction by Sennacherib (689 B.C.)** 

Beginning with Merodach-baladan, Babylon and Assyria entered a period of conflict much like that experienced between Israel/Judah, and Assyria. Sennacherib conducted several campaigns against Babylon to bring the rebellious city back under his control.[14] Following the final conflict with Mushib-Marduk Sennacherib ordered his troops to destroy Babylon. "I made its destruction more complete than that by a flood. That in days to come, the site of that city, and (its) temples and gods, might not be remembered, I completely blotted it out with (floods) of water and made it like a meadow."[15] Babylon remained in ruins throughout the remainder of Sennacherib's reign (the next eight years, 689-681 B.C.). The Babylonian Chronicle notes this period by simply recording, "Eight years there was no king in Babylon."[16]

Sennacherib's son, Esarhaddon, was in charge of administering the region of Babylon while he was crown prince. After becoming king in 681 he began rebuilding Babylon and restoring the ancient temples.[17] The work was not completed until 669-the year Esarhaddon died.

## Babylon's Fall to Cyrus (539 B.C.)

The Neo-Babylonian Empire can be traced to Nabopolassar who seized the throne of Babylon in 626 B.C. He led the attack that destroyed the city of Nlneveh in 612 B.C., and he ruled until 605 B.C. His son, Nebuchadnezzar, is the king who brought the Neo-Babylonian empire to its zenith of power. Nebuchadnezzar reigned 605-562 B.C., and he was responsible for destroying the kingdom of Judah and for beginning the "times of the Gentiles" (Dan. 2).

Following Nebuchadnezzar's death the Neo-Babylonian empire began its decline. The next 23 years saw four kings ascend the throne (not including Belshazzar who reigned as coregent with his father). The end of the Neo-Babylonian empire came at the hands of Cyrus in 539 B.C. The Babylonian Chronicle provides a concise account of Babylon's fall.

In the month Tishri when Cyrus (II) did battle of Opis on the [bank oJ] the Tigris against the army of Akkad, the people of Akkad retreated. He carried off the plunder (and) slaughtered the people. On the fourteenth day [i.e., October 10, 539 B.C.] Sippar was captured without a battle. Nabonidus fled. On the sixteenth day [i.e., October 12, 539 B.C.] Ugbaru, governor of the Gut!, and the army of Cyrus (II) entered Babylon without a battle. Afterwards, after Nabonidus retreated, he was captured in Babylon. Until the end of the month the shield-(bearing troops) of the Gull surrounded the gates of Esagil. (But) there was no interruption (of rites) in Esagil or the (other) temples and no date (for a performance) was missed. On the third day of the month Marchesvan [i.e., October 29, 539 B.c.] Cyrus (II) entered Babylon .... were filled before him. There was peace in the city while Cyrus (II) spoke (his) greeting to all of Babylon.[18]

The Babylonian Chronicle account is corroborated by an inscription found on a clay barrel. The inscription was an edict by Cyrus explaining why the gods had allowed him to capture Babylon. The inscription concludes by recording Cyrus's generous treatment of both the people of Babylon and the gods that had been held captive in Babylon.

When I entered Babylon (DIN.TIRkI) as a friend and (when) I established the seat of the government in the palace of the ruler under jubilation and rejoicing, Marduk, the great lord, Induced] the magnanimous inhabitants of Babylon (DIN.TIR) [to love me], and I was daily endeavouring to worship him. My numerous troops walked around in Babylon (DIN.TIR") in peace, I did not allow anybody to terrorize (any place) of the [country of Sumer] and Akkad. I strove for peace in Babylon (Ka.dingir.ra') and in all his (other) sacred cities. As to the inhabitants of Babylon (DIN.TIR"), [who] against the will of the gods [had/were .... I abolished] the corv...e (lit.: yoke) which was against their (social) standing. I brought relief to their dilapidated housing, putting (thus) an end to their (main) complaints. Marduk, the great lord, was well pleased with my deeds and sent friendly blessings to myself, Cyrus, the king who worships him, to Cambyses, my son, the offspring of [my] loins, as well as to all my troops, and we all [praised] his great Igodheadi joyously, standing before him in peace.

All the kings of the entire world from the Upper to the Lower Sea, those who are seated in throne rooms, (those who) live in other [types of buildings as well as] all the kings of the West land living in tents, brought their heavy tributes and kissed my feet in Babylon (u.an.na). (As to the region) from... as far as Ashur and Susa, Agade, Eshnunna, the towns Zamban, Me-Turnu, Der as well as the region of the Gutians, I returned to (these) sacred cities on the other side of the Tigris, the sanctuaries of which have been ruins for a long time, the images which (used) to live therein and established for them permanent sanctuaries. I (also) gathered all their (former) inhabitants and returned (to them) their habitations. Furthermore, I resettled upon the command of Marduk, the great lord, all the gods of Sumer and Akkad whom Nabonidus has brought into Babylon (u.an.nak1) to the anger of the lord of the gods, unharmed, in their (former) chapels, the places which make them happy.

May all the gods whom I have resettled in their sacred cities ask daily Bel and Nebo for a long life for me and may they recommend me (to him); to Marduk, my lord, they may say this: "Cyrus, the king who worships you, and Cambyses, his son ................................ all of them I settled in a peaceful place... ducks and doves ..... I endeavoured to fortify/repair their dwelling places .... [19]

# Babylon's Later History (530 B.C.-A.D. 1975)

Babylon's later history can be traced through the Medo-Persian and Greek periods by focusing on several key historical points. Cyrus was followed to the throne by Cambyses (530- 522), Pseudo-Smerdis (522), and Darius I (522-486). At the time of Cambyses's death two revolts took place in Babylon. According to Herodotus, when Darius was finally able to put down these revolts he tried to weaken Babylon to prevent further insurrection. "Having mastered the Babylonians, Darius destroyed their walls and reft away all their gates, neither of

which things Cyrus had done at the first taking of Babylon: moreover he impaled about three thousand men that were chief among them; as for the rest, he gave them back their city to dwell in. "[20]

There is some question as to whether Darius destroyed all the walls of the city or only the gates and, perhaps, portions of the outer wail on the eastern side. Herodotus visited Babylon about 450 B.C. approximately 70 years after Darius's attack. From his eyewitness description it appears that the gates of the city were no longer in place but most (if not all) of the walls were still standing. "Further, at the end of each road there was a gate in the riverside fence, one gate for each alley: these gates also were of bronze, and these too opened on the river. These [outer] walls are the city's outer armour; within them there is another encircling wall, well nigh as strong as the other, but narrower."[21] Herodotus also reports that the royal palace was still standing along with the temple complex of Marduk (which he calls Zeus Belus) and the tower of Babel.[22]

Herodotus's eyewitness account also calls into question later accounts of Babylon's destruction at the hands of Xerxes (485-465 B.C.). Arrian records that "the temple of Belus was in the midst of the city of Babylon, in size immense, and made of baked brick with bitumen for mortar. This temple, like the other shrines of Babylon, Xerxes razed to the ground, when he returned from Greece. "[23] Perhaps the accounts can be harmonized by assuming that Xerxes damaged or ransacked the temple of Marduk proper without destroying the entire complex or the tower structure. In any case, the walls of Babylon, the temple complex of Marduk, and the tower of Babel were still in existence after the time of Xerxes when Herodotus visited the site, though they may have been in some state of disrepair.

Babylon also played a significant role in the life of Alexander the Great. Having "conquered the world," Alexander returned to Babylon. He was busy making the city his chief city in the eastern part of his empire when he died. According to Strabo, Alexander began repairing and rebuilding the tower of Babel. "Alexander intended to repair this pyramid; but it would have been a large task and would have required a long time (for merely the clearing away of the mound was a task for ten thousand men for two months), so that he could not finish what he had attempted [24] When Alexander's general, Hephaestion, died,

Alexander "ordered a pyre to be made ready for him in Babylon at a cost of ten thousand talents.[25] In his excavations in Babylon Robert Koldewey uncovered a series of mounds called by the local population "Humaira." The archaeological discoveries in this area support the accounts of Arrian and Strabo and tie both together.

The central mound consists of debris of broken bricks, among which an artificial platform was found, marked by traces of a great conflagration. These ruins are believed to mark the site of the funeral pyre erected by order of Alexander for the funeral of Hephaestion. The northernmost mound, c. 16 m high, consists of nothing but brick rubble, artificially heaped up. Some of the brick fragments bear

Nebuchadnezzar's name and record the building of Etemenanki. Indeed it would seem that this is the actual debris removed by Alexander when he decided to rebuilt I sic] the ziggurat, which was in ruins when he reached Babylon.[26]

Alexander's plan was to establish Babylon as his chief city in the east. "Alexander dug a harbour at Babylon, large enough to be a roadstead for a thousand ships of war, and dockyards on the harbour."[27] A Greek theater was also constructed in Babylon that could seat 4,000, It is unclear who built the theater. But its location in Humaira near the funeral pyre and the debris from Etemenanki point to the time of Alexander for its construction.[28] But Alexander's plans for Babylon were cut short when he died in Babylon at the age of 32.

After the division of Alexander's empire among his generals Babylon was seized by Seleucus in 312 B.C. Seleucus later founded the city of Seleucia further north on the Tigris River, and this city replaced Babylon as the capital city of the empire. Yet Babylon remained an important religious and political center. Both Seleucus and his son, Antiochus 1, retained the title "king of Babylon."[29]

The Parthians pushed into Mesopotamia between 166 and 122 B.C. and eventually established their capital at Ctesiphon.[30] During the Parthian era Ctesiphon became the civil center, Seleucia remained the commercial center, while Babylon continued as the religious center. Josephus records that a large number of Jews were still living in Babylon during the Parthian period. "When Hyrcanus was brought into Parthia, the king of Phraates treated him after a very gentle manner, as having already learned of what an illustrious family he was; on which account he set him free from his bonds, and gave him a habitation at Babylon, where there were Jews in great numbers."[31]

Whitson believes Josephus is mistaken in his identification of Babylon as the site where Hyrcanus was settled. "The city here called 'Babylon' by Josephus seems to be one which was built by some of the Seleucid, upon the Tigris; which, long alter the utter desolation of Old Babylon was commonly so called, just as the later adjoining city Bagdat [sic) is often called by the same old name of Babylon."[32] If Whitson is correct, then Josephus's reference to Babylon has no merit because Josephus would have confused Babylon with either Seleucia or Ctesiphon. However, Josephus seems to know the difference between all three cities. Later in his account he records an incident where "a pestilence came upon these [Jews] at Babylon, which occasioned new removals of men's habitations out of that city; and because they came to Seleucla, it happened that a still heavier calamity came upon them."[33] Josephus had earlier demonstrated his familiarity with Seleucia by describing it as "the principal city of those parts, which was built by Seleucus Nicator ....[34]" Alter describing the massacre of 50,000 Jews who had fled from Babylon to Seleucia, Josephus reports that those who managed to escape "retired to Ctesiphon, a Grecian city, and situated near to Seleucia, where the king [of Parthia] lives in winter every year ....[35] The point here is that Josephus clearly distinguishes between the three cities of Babylon, Seleucia, and Ctesiphon. When Josephus refers to Jews living in Babylon, one should assume that Josephus knew which city he had in mind.

Strabo (63 B.C.-A.D. 24) reported that Babylon, while still in existence in his day, was in decline. "What is more, Seleuceia at the present time has become larger than Babylon, whereas the greater part of Babylon is so deserted that one would not hesitate to say what one of the comic poets said in reference to the Megalopolitans in Arcadia: The Great City is a great desert."[36] And yet one cannot read too much into Strabo's words because he goes on to describe the buildings and homes constructed there. He also mentions the religious groups still living in Babylon. "In Babylonia a settlement is set apart for the local philosophers, the Chaldaeans, as they are called, who are concerned mostly with astronomy. . [37]

Pliny (A.D. 23-79) gives a similar report on the condition of Babylon in his day. The city had lost most of its former glory, but it still maintained its religious significance. The temple of Jupiter Belus in Babylon is still standing-Belus was the discoverer of the science of astronomy; but in all other respects the place has gone back to a desert, having been drained of its population by the proximity of Seleucia... [38]

While one cannot be dogmatic, it seems likely that a Jewish community continued to exist in Babylon during the first century A.D. On the day of Pentecost Jews from "Mesopotamia" gathered with others

from the diaspora in Jerusalem (Acts 2:9). Some of those other Jews who gathered came from "Cappadocia, Pontus and Asia, Phrygia and Pamphylia" (Acts 2:9-10). Jewish believers from these areas are included with Gentiles as the recipients of Peter's first epistle which he wrote to those "scattered throughout Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia" (1 Pet. 1:1). Having written to these believers among the diaspora Peter, the apostle to the Jews (cf. Gal. 2:8-10), closes his epistle by extending a greeting from "she [i.e., the church] who is in Babylon" (1 Pet. 5:13). While some see this as a coded reference to Rome,[39] It hardly seems necessary for Peter to use such coded language. It seems more likely that Peter, while visiting one enclave of Jewish believers in Babylon, wrote a letter to another enclave of Jewish and Gentile believers in Asia Minor.[40]

With the close of the New Testament the information on Babylon becomes very sparse. Writers quote Dio who says Trajan visited Babylon in A.D. 116 and found "nothing but mounds and stones and ruins...." [41] However, Dio's account should not be accepted uncritically. First, he also says

Trajan offered sacrifices to Alexander "in the room where he had died."[42] If true, this implies that (a) some buildings were still standing and (b) someone still lived in Babylon who could point out the room to Trajan. Second, Dio also records Trajan's visit to the pit where the bitumen was mined for Babylon. He describes the pit as a place where deadly vapors "destroy any terrestrial animal and any winged creature" who might venture in except "human beings that have been emasculated. The reason for this I cannot understand."[43] He seems subject to exaggeration.

The next western source that can be clearly identified in Babylon is the Jewish traveler from Spain, Benjamin of Tudela who visited the site 1,000 years after Trajan. He left a fascinating account of his travels to the Middle East, including a visit to Baghdad, Babylon, arid Hula.

From thence [i.e., Ras-al-Ain which was two days from Baghdad] it is one day to Babylon. This is the ancient Babel, and now lies in ruins; but the streets still extend thirty miles. The ruins of the palace of Nebuchadnezzar are still to be seen; but people are afraid to venture among them on account of the serpents and scorpions with which they are infested. Twenty thousand Jews live within about twenty miles from this place, and perform their worship in the synagogue of Daniel, who rests in peace. This synagogue is of remote antiquity, having been built by Daniel himself; It is constructed of solid stones and bricks. Here the traveller [sic] may also behold the palace of Nebuchadnezzar, with the burning fiery furnace into which were thrown Hananiah, Mishael, and Azariah; it is a valley well known to every one. Hillah, which is at a distance of five miles, contains about ten thousand Jews and four synagogues .... [44]

Benjamin of Tudela's description is a complex picture of a city largely in ruins but which still has some measure of habitation. He mentions that people are afraid to venture into Nebuchadnezzar's palace; but he then describes Daniel's synagogue, which is close to Nebuchadnezzar's palace in the city, and says it is visited by 20,000 Jews.

Benjamin of Tudela's description fits well with the descriptions of Pliny and other ancient writers. Much of the ancient city of Babylon was in ruins. However, the site was still inhabited and still held religious significance. But later western writers seems to paint a more desolate picture of Babylon. For example, in 1574 the German traveler, Rauwoif, traveled to Mesopotamia and wrote of his experiences. His description of the "ruins of Babylon" is more typical of the writings of later Europeans who describe Babylon as totally desolate and uninhabited.

The village of Elugo, now lieth on the place where formerly old Babylon, the metropolis of Cha1da, was situated. The harbour is a quarter of a league's distance from it, where people go ashore in order to proceed by land to the celebrated city of Bagdat, which is a day and a half's journey from thence eastward on the Tigris .... Just before the village of Elugo is the hill whereon the castle stood, and the ruins of its fortifications are still visible, though demolished and uninhabited. Behind it, and pretty near to it, did stand the tower of Babylon.-It is still to be seen, and is half a league in diameter; but so ruinous, so low, and so full of venomous creatures, which lodge in holes made by them in the rubbish, that no one durst approach nearer to it than within half a league, except during two months in the winter, when these animals never stir out of their holes.[45]

Rauwolf's description of Babylon's utter desolation, while vivid and dramatic, is also incorrect. The "village of Elugo" which he identified as ancient Babylon is known today as Al Falligah.. It is on the Euphrates River and was the spot where travelers left their boats to continue by land to

Baghdad.[46] Unfortunately, the ancient site of Babylon is still 75 miles further south on the Euphrates River. Rauwoif never set eyes on the city of Babylon. In fact, many of the descriptions by many western visitors are not of Babylon but of other ruins in southern Mesopotamia that were within "visiting distance" of Baghdad.

Not much information on Babylon during the remainder of the Middle Ages can be found, but there is information that the city has been inhabited in the Modern Era, from at least the 1700s. Koldewey, the German archaeologist responsible for much of the work which has been done at Babylon, makes an interesting comment on the villages around the city. He described the site of the city and its ruins in this way:

At the bend of the Euphrates, between Babil and Kasr lie the ruins of the former village of Kweiresh, whose population migrated elsewhere a hundred years ago. The walls of mud brick still overtop the heaps of debris.

The modern village of Kweiresh lies close to the Kasr, to which we must now turn our attention. The most northerly house of Kweiresh is the headquarters of our expedition (Fig. 12), called by the Arabs "Kasr abid" [italics added].[47]

Koldewey makes two important statements. First, he states definitely that a village was existing in his day within the walls of the ancient city of Babylon. He headquartered in this village as he excavated the nearby ruins. Second, Koldewey reports the existence of another village (with the same name) that had also existed within the city of Babylon a century earlier. Since he gives only an approximate date of abandonment, there is no way to determine how long that earlier city had existed in Babylon; but the permanence of the structures would suggest an extended history. Koldewey presents a detailed map of Babylon's ruins (see map on the next page) in which he shows the location of both the ancient village pf Kweiresh as well as the modem village. Both are in the heart of what was once ancient Babylon.[48]

# **Babylon Today**

Koldewey has shown that Babylon was still inhabited at least at the time of his excavations in the early 1900s. However, what is the status of Babylon today? In 1978 Mrs. L. Glynne Dairos, Assistant Secretary of the British School of Archaeology in Iraq, responded to a question from this writer on the existence of any modern villages within the walls of the ancient city. She wrote, "There are three modem settlements situated inside the walls of ancient Babylon. The government of Iraq does indeed plan to restore much of Babylon and has indeed made a start on certain buildings."[49]

To understand what is happening in Babylon today one must first understand the political ambitions of Saddam Hussein. During the Iran/Iraq War Saddam Hussein used the city of Babylon as a visual aid to remind the Iraqi people of the history of conflict between Iraq and Iran and of the territorial ambitions of the Iranians. As Paul Lewis wrote in the New York Times International, "President Hussein's decision to rebuild Nebuchadnezzar's Palace at the height of a war he almost lost was the centerpiece of a campaign to strengthen Iraqi nationalism by appealing to history .... Mr. Hussein's campaign also served subtler ends: it justified Iraq's costly war with Iran as the continuation of Mesopotamia's ancient feud with Persia. And it portrayed Saddam Hussein as successor to Nebuchadnezzar, Babylon's mightiest ruler."[50]

In effect, Saddam Hussein used Babylon as an Iraqi Alamo or Masada. His decision to rebuild Babylon forced the people to focus on a grand era in Iraq's history that was destroyed by the same enemy who again threatened the nation. It is no accident that the Babylon being rebuilt by Hussein was the Babylon of Nebuchadnezzar. As early as 1986 Michael Dobbs, writing in the Washington Post, noticed that the restoration of Babylon had become a political (not merely an archaeological) undertaking. The Iraqis view Babylon somewhat differently [than the Bible]. For the Iraqi government, the Babylonian Empire is a source of national pride and inspiration for the grueling six-year-old war with neighboring Iran, Iraq's hereditary enemy. President Saddam Hussein has ordered that no expense be spared to restore the city to its ancient splendor."[51]

Building Babylon became synonymous with rising to the threat of the Iranians and asserting Iraq's "manifest destiny" to lead the Arab nations to glory. Now, instead of just building Babylon as an archaeological park, Babylon became the focal point of Iraqi nationalism which had

replaced the earlier Baathist goal of Arab nationalism. By early 1987 plans were underway to hold the first annual Babylon Festival to celebrate the glory of Babylon, which included an emphasis on Saddam Hussein and Iraq. It is no accident that the opening of the festival was scheduled for September 22, 1987-seven years to the day after Iraq's invasion of Iran.[52]

While the Babylon Festival was announced as a cultural event featuring musical groups, symposia, and other cultural activities, the festival had much deeper political overtones. This writer attended the first Babylon Festival as an invited participant. One could not help but notice the emphasis placed on Saddam Hussein and the comparisons made between Saddam Hussein and Nebuchadnezzar. The official seal of the Babylon Festival featured the portraits of Saddam Hussein and Nebuchadnezzar side by side. The portraits were designed to stress physical similarities between the two men. The official theme of the Festival was "From Nabukhadnezzar [sic] to Saddam Hussein Babylon Undergoes a Renaissance."

On the opening night of the Babylon Festival Mr. Latif Nssayif Jassim, Minister of Information and Culture, spoke to the audience that had gathered. His speech focused on the political and historical conflict between Iraq and Iran and the part played by Babylon in that conflict.

However, the Persian [i.e., Iranian] mentality in our neighbourhood, prompted by deep-rooted hatred and aggressiveness tried to quench the flame of civilisation in this city of Babylon. Hence the city came under the attack of the Persian ruler Kurash (Cyrus) who, before 2,500 years, laid siege to this town. The siege lasted long and the town remained strong. It was not until Cyrus had collaborated with the Jews inside the city that he was able to tighten the siege round the city and subsequently to occupy it .... Today we are living in the midst of Khomeini's aggression which has extended over a span of seven years during which Khomeini had allied himself with the Zionists in an attempt to enter Baghdad and other Iraqi cities and to destroy them as was the case with Babylon .... It [i.e., rebuilt Babylon] will serve as a living example of the grandeur of the Iraqis to pursue their path for more glories.[53]

This writer's visits to Babylon in 1987 and 1988 confirm that much of the site is being rebuilt by Saddam Hussein. (See map on the following page.) Hussein's agenda in rebuilding Babylon has nothing to do with Bible prophecy, but it has everything to do with his desire to promote his political agenda in the Middle East.

Old policies have always ignored the status of Babylon when they created psychological and scientific barriers between Iraqis and their leaders in ancient times. No one has ever mentioned the achievements of "Hammurabi," the founder of the first organized set of law in human history. Or "Nebuchadnezzar," the national hero who was able to defeat the enemies of the nation on the land of "Kennan" [i.e., Canaan] and to take them as prisoner of war to Babylon. What we need now is to increase awareness in this regard.[54]

The third annual Babylon Festival was held in September 1989, but the fourth annual festival scheduled for September 1990 was canceled following Iraq's invasion of Kuwait. Operation Desert Shield and Operation Desert Storm became the dominant topic in that region of the world. When the smoke of battle cleared, many felt that Saddam Hussein's days were numbered. But amazingly he has survived longer than the U.S. President who masterminded the coalition against Iraq.

But what about Babylon? The city was not damaged during the bombing in Operation Desert Storm. It was included on a list of sites off limits to bombing because of historical or archaeological importance. Work on the reconstruction of Babylon stopped following the invasion of Kuwait, but the reconstruction already completed remained intact. Within months after the end of Operation Desert Storm an article appeared in the New York Times describing the resumption of work at Babylon.

One of President Hussein's favorite prestige projects has been the rebuilding of King Nebuchadnezzar's great southern palace in Babylon according to the designs of German archaeologists early this century .... Reconstruction has been more or less complete for a year now. And while archaeologists may bicker over details, this immense set of interconnecting chambers and courtyards surrounded by crenelated fortifications is more interesting for visitors than the pile of mud that used to be all there was to see at Babylon.

Today, however, the southern temple [sic, palace] is a desolate spot. Power and water have been cut off by the allied bombing, and its shops, museums and restaurants are closed. But

work is under way on a series of three huge viewing platforms just outside the walls of Nebuchadnezzar's Babylon from which visitors wllL.be able to look down at new excavations Iraq is planning.

"This is the personal orders of the President," said Iraq's Director General of Antiquities, Mouyad Said.[55]

On August 27, 1992, this writer received a fax from the Iraqi Interest Section of the Algerian Embassy. The fax read in part, "On the occasion of the Fourth Babylon Festival, the symposium of Babylon architecture will be held from September 1, 1992 to September 6, 1992 In Baghdad. We are please to invite you to participate in this symposium..."[56] On June 28, 1993, another letter was received inviting this writer to "the Fifth Annual Babylon Festival to be held from September 22, 1993 to October 6, 1993."[57] The war may have slowed Saddam Hussein down, but it did not put an end to his plans to restore the city of Babylon.

What Does the Bible Say about Babylon?

Having traced Babylon's history from the time of Isaiah till today, this paper must now turn to the Bible to place Babylon's history in prophetic perspective. Three Old Testament prophecies and one New Testament prophecy concerning Babylon will be briefly examined to determine what, if any, prophetic significance they might have.

#### Isaiah 13-14

In Isaiah 13-23 the prophet turns from Judah to deliver God's message to the surrounding Gentile nations. It is as if the prophet is telling these nations, "If God hasn't spared His own people, what makes you Gentiles think you will escape?" This section is so large that it is often difficult to determine Its structural significance. It often helps first to list the nations in order and to make any observations on the overall structure before examining the particular messages. The nations addressed by Isaiah are as follows:

Babylon (13:1-14:23)-45 verses
Assyria (14:24-27)---4 verses
Philistia (14:28-32)-5 verses
Moab (15:1-16:14)-23 verses
Damascus and Samarla (17:1-14)-14 verses
Cush (18:1-7)-7 verses
Egypt (19:1-25)-25 verses
Egypt and Cush (20:1-6)--6 verses
Babylon (21:1-10)-10 verses
Edom (2i:11-12)-2 verses
Arabia (21:13-17)--5 verses
Jerusalem (22:1-25)-25 verses
Tyre (23:1-18)-18 verses

Four principles of Bible study can help in evaluating this list of nations. The first principle is the principle of chiasm. If a list is structured as a chiasm, whatever is at the beginning and end of the chiasm or whatever is in the center of the chiasm might be that which the author is stressing. This principle does not apply to Isaiah 13-23 because the list is not structured as a chiasm. The second principle is the principle of perceived order in a list. Whatever the author places first or last in his list might be important. Isaiah placed Babylon first, and he placed Tyre last. The third principle is the law of proportion. In any list the author will spend more time on those items he intends to emphasize. If Cush and Egypt are counted as a unit, then the three nations on which Isaiah spends most of his time are Babylon (45 verses), Egypt and Cush (38 verses), and Jerusalem (25 verses). The fourth principle is the principle of repetition. If an author repeats an item in his list, it could be important. In Isaiah 13-23 one nation is repeated twice-Babylon (13-14; 21).

This preliminary review of Isaiah 13-23 points out the importance of Babylon in Isaiah's messages against the nations. Isaiah begins his series of messages with Babylon, he spends more time on Babylon than on any other nation, and he has two separate messages against Babylon in the list. Thus Babylon must be important in the theme Isaiah is developing.

However, before continuing one key issue must be explored. Some scholars have argued that the prophecies against Babylon in Isaiah 13-14 are actually focusing on Assyria and its king instead of Babylon. If this is true, then Isaiah's prophecies against Babylon might have no significance for the future of Babylon. But why do these scholars see Assyria rather than Babylon in Isaiah 13-14? Three basic reasons are given. First, the structure of Isaiah 13-23 argues for identifying Assyria with Babylon. Each of Isaiah's messages against the nations is introduced with the word "oracle" ("an oracle concerning Babylon," etc.). But after describing the destruction of Babylon in 13:1-14:23, Isaiah does not use the word "oracle" to describe God's judgment against Assyria (14:24-27). "Many interpreters feel that these verses are a separate section.

But it seems preferable to see them as part of the oracle beginning in 13:1 "[58] Thus, the argument goes, Isaiah identifies the real subject of this oracle, Assyria, as he draws the oracle to a conclusion.

Second, identifying Babylon as Assyria would fit better historically in light of Assyria's dominant position on the international scene. "Many commentators have assumed that Isaiah's message in 13:1-14:27 about the fall of Babylon referred to its fall to Medo-Persia in 539. However, it seems better to see this section as pertaining to the Assyrian attack on Babylon in 689. This ties in better with the Assyrian threat Isaiah had written about in 7:17-8:10, beginning with the attacks under the rule of Tiglath-Pileser III (745-727)."[59] Assyria, not Babylon, was the nation threatening Judah in Isaiah's day, and it would make more sense for Isaiah to start his list of nations with Assyria.

Third, identifying Babylon as Assyria can be justified since the kings of Assyria took on the title "king of Babylon." "But wasn't Sennacherib king of Assyria rather than Babylon? He was king of both because Babylon was a vassal of Assyria from the end of the 10th century B.C. Occasionally the vassal ruler over Babylon revolted against Assyria, but in 728 Tiglath-Pileser III, Assyria's aggressive ruler from 745-727, was crowned king of Babylon .... Sargon 11(722-705) and Sennacherib (705-681), later Assyrian monarchs, also called themselves kings of Babylon."[60] Thus the "king of Babylon" in Isaiah 14 would, in reality, have been the current king of Assyria.

How strong are the arguments for identifying Babylon as Assyria in Isaiah 13-14? Each of the arguments is not as strong as it might first appear. First, Isaiah's use of the term "oracle" cannot be used to associate Assyria with Babylon. Isaiah does not consistently use "oracle" to separate each message against the nations. In 17:1 Isaiah introduces a new nation with his standard phrase: "An oracle concerning Damascus." Damascus and the Arameans lived northeast of Judah and were a constant source of trouble to the Israelites. However, in Isaiah 18:1 the prophet shifts to another nation-but he does not begin this new section with the word "oracle." "Woe to the land of whirring wings, along the rivers of Cush, which sends envoys by sea in papyrus boats over the water" (18:1-2). Cush was the land south of Egypt in the area today known as Sudan. There is no way Cush can be identified with Damascus, but Isaiah moved from one nation to the other without using "oracle" to introduce the break. Not using "oracle" between Babylon and Assyria in 14:24 is no more unusual than not using "oracle" between Damascus and Cush in 18:1. The absence of the word "oracle" does not demand that one link together Babylon and Assyria.

Second, assuming that Babylon must be equivalent to Assyria because Assyria was the dominant nation in Isaiah's day limits God's ability to speak to events that were still future. Such a position does not take into account the fact that Babylon was theologically significant from God's perspective (cf. Gen. 11:1-9). Nor does it account for Isaiah 39 where God predicts that Babylon would be the nation that would destroy the kingdom of Judah. (A prophecy made while Assyria was still the dominant power internationally.) God can predict more than current events, and Babylon is later identified as the nation that will destroy Judah.

Third, claiming that the kings of Assyria took the title "king of Babylon" is not entirely correct. While some at times did take this title, this does not seem to be the rule during much of the time Isaiah was prophesying. In the Oriental Institute Prism Inscription (often called the Taylor Prism) Sennacherib gives a quite full listing of his titles: "Sennacherib, the great king, the mighty king, king of the universe, king of Assyria, king of the four quarters (of the earth): the wise ruler (lit, shepherd, 'pastor'), favorite of the great gods, guardian of the right, lover of justice; who lends support, who comes to the

1/24/22, 5:19 PM

aid of the needy, who turns (his thoughts) to pious deeds; perfect hero, mighty man; first among all princes, the powerful one who consumes the insubmissive, who strikes the wicked with the thunderbolt., ."[61] His title in the Nebi Yunus inscription is very similar: "Palace of Sennacherib, the great king, the mighty king, king of the universe, king of Assyria, king of the four quarters (of the world): favorite of the great gods; wise sovereign, provident prince, shepherd of peoples, ruler of widespread nations, am I."[62] Of all the titles Sennacherib took in these inscriptions, "king of Babylon" was not one of them.

Both the Oriental Institute Prism and the Babylonian Chronicle support the contention that Sennacherib did not assume the title "king of Babylon" as a permanent title. In the Oriental Institute Prism Sennacherib describes his defeat of "Merodach-baladan, king of Babylon" in 703 B.C.[63] In 700 B.C. Sennacherib conducted a second campaign against Merodach-baladan. Only after this defeat did Sennacherib replace Merodach-baladan with another king. "I placed on his (Merodach-baladan's) royal throne, Assur-nlin-shum, my oldest son, offspring of my loins (knees). I put him in charge of the wide land of Sumer and Akkad."[64] The Babylonian Chronicle provides a careful list of the kings of Babylon from Merodach-baladan on as well as the length of their rule. They included:

Merodach-baladan-13 years (721-710, 703 B.C.) B8-ibni-3 years (702-700 B.C.) Assur-nlin-shum-6 years (699-694 B.C.) Nergal-ush&ib-1 year and six months (693 B.C.) Mushib-Marduk-four years (692-689 B.C.) Eight years there was no king (689-681 B.C.)[65]

Some kings of Assyria did claim the title "king of Babylon," but it was usually for a short period of time and was not automatically taken. Tiglath-pileser III claimed the title the final two years (729-727 B.C.) of his 19-year reign. Shalmaneser V claimed the title for most of his reign (726-722 B.C.). Sargon II claimed the title in the later part of his reign (710-705 B.C.), and Sennacherib may have claimed the title at the very beginning of his reign (704 B.C.). Isaiah prophesied from 739 to 686 B.C. From 739 to 700 B.C. (the period when the prophecies against the nations were likely given), the Assyrians claimed the title "king of Babylon" 14 years while 26 years the title was held by someone other than the king of Assyria. From 703 B.C. to 681 B.C. (the time when Babylon's destruction occurred) Sennacherib did not claim the title "king of Babylon."

Otto Kaiser includes one final distinction between the prophecies against Babylon and Assyria that indicate the two are to be kept distinct. "But a further difference is immediately obvious: whereas Babylon is to be annihilated in its own country, the Assyrians are to fall in the Holy Land."[66] On the whole, it seems best to take Isaiah's words at face value and to identify the subject of his prophecy in 13:1-14:23 as Babylon.

But what does Isaiah say about Babylon in this initial prophecy? Isaiah provides three specific keys on the nature of the fulfillment one should expect for this prophecy. Each of these will be examined briefly.

The timing of the destruction. Isaiah's first key focuses on the timing of Babylon's destruction. Having described the massing of the armies to attack (13:2-5) Isaiah announces that "the day of the LORD is near; it will come like destruction from the Almighty" (13:6). While the "day of the LORD" could refer to any time in history when God intervenes in judgment (cf. Amos 5:18-20), Isaiah uses eschatological imagery that seems to go beyond a mere temporal judgment in his day. Otto Kaiser, who does not hold to Isaianic authorship, still recognizes the universal themes of judgment used by his so-called "proto-apocalyptic redactor." "Obviously the person responsible for the chapter as we have it was prepared to tolerate the tension which results from the interweaving of prophecies of a local and a universal future

event.[67]

Isaiah defines the "day of the LORD" as a time of universal cataclysmic judgment. As he returns to the "day of the LORD" theme in 13:9 he describes it as "a cruel day, with wrath and fierce anger-to make the land desolate and destroy the sinners within it." His description of supernatural signs in the heavens is very similar to that of Joel and, depending on when one dates the prophecies of Joel, could be borrowed from that prophet.

Isaiah 13:9-10 See, the day of the LORD is coming..... The stars of heaven and their constellations will not show their light. The rising sun will be darkened and the moon will not give its light.

Joel 3:14b-15 For the day of the LORD is near in the valley of decision. The sun and moon will be darkened, and the stars no longer shine.

The "day of the LORD" judgment in Isaiah 13 extends beyond just Babylon. The purpose for the day is to "punish the world for its evil, the wicked for their sins" (13:11). God's judgment on this day encompasses the world. After the judgment humanity will be "scarcer than pure gold" (13:12). Isaiah concludes his description on the time of judgment by stating it will be a time when God will shake both the heavens and the earth (13:13). While this could be a figure of speech, it also conjures up imagery of supernatural signs in the heavens and great earthquakes on earth that seem symbolic of the last days (Zech. 14:3-7; Matt. 24:7, 29 [which quotes Isa. 13:10]; Rev. 6:12-14).

The nature of the destruction. Isaiah began with Babylon, but his imagery of the "day of the LORD" soared from "Babylon" (13:1), to "the whole country" (13:5), to "the world" (13; 11), to "the heavens... and the earth" (13:13). However, beginning in 13:14 Isaiah returns to describe the nature of the destruction about to be inflicted on Babylon. Babylon is to experience total annihilation. "Whoever is captured will be thrust through; all who are caught will fall by the sword. Their infants will be dashed to pieces before their eyes; their houses will be looted and their wives ravished" (13:15-16). Isaiah pictures a blood bath that will engulf warriors and women, soldiers and civilians.

In 13:17 Isaiah names one specific group participating in this attack. "See, I will stir up against them the Medes . . . . "Because Isaiah mentions the Medes many interpreters have assumed the fulfillment of Isaiah's prophecy took place in 539 B.C. when Cyrus and the Medo- Persian empire captured Babylon. However, a careful comparison of Isaiah 13 with the events of 539 B.C. show that this passage was not literally fulfilled at that time. Isaiah describes the Medes as those "who do not care for silver and have no delight in gold" (13:17b). Instead the purpose for the attack by the Medes will be to kill the inhabitants of Babylon. "Their bows will strike down the young men; they will have no mercy on infants nor will they look with compassion on children" (13:18). While the Medes were part of the army that captured Babylon, they did not attack or kill the inhabitants of the city. Both the Babylonian Chronicle and Cyrus's own account record the peacefulness of Babylon's fall. Cyrus wrote, "My numerous troops walked around in Babylon (DIN.TIRk) in peace, I did not allow anybody to terrorize (any place) of the [country of Sumerl and Akkad. I strove for peace in Babylon

(K.dingir.ra') and in all his (other) sacred cities." [68]The Babylonian Chronicle adds, "Until the end of the month the shield-(bearing troops) of the Guti surrounded the gates of Esagil. (But) there was no interruption (of rites) in Esagil or the (other) temples and no date (for a performance) was missed. On the third day of the month Marchesvan (i.e., October 29, 539 B.C.] Cyrus (II) entered Babylon .... There was peace in the city while Cyrus (II) spoke (his) greeting to all of Babylon. "[69] It seems inconsistent to say the prophecy was fulfilled in 539 by interpreting "Medes" literally while disregarding the fact that what is said about the Medes was not literally fulfilled.

The results of the destruction. Isaiah focuses on two specific results of the attack against Babylon. First, he describes the permanence of Babylon's destruction. Babylon "Win be overthrown by God like Sodom and Gomorrah" (13:19). By comparing Babylon's destruction to that of Sodom and Gomorrah Isaiah conjurs up a vivid image of total annihilation. Sodom and Gomorrah were suddenly,

totally, and permanently destroyed. Following their destruction they were never reinhabited.

Isaiah follows his pronouncement with three specific images that help define the extent of Babylon's destruction. (a) Babylon will never again experience long-term habitation. "She will never be inhabited or lived in through all generations" (13:20a). Yet this could imply some type of semipermanent occupation, so Isaiah narrows the image still further. (b) Babylon will never again experience even short-term habitation. "No Arab will pitch his tent there" (13:20b). Nomadic settlers would often pitch their tents for months (sometimes even years) in one location before moving when supplies of water or grass for grazing became depleted. Babylon will not even experience the short-term occupation of nomadic settlers. But Isaiah adds a third illustration to reduce further the scope of habitation. (c) Babylon will never again experience any human habitation. "No shepherd will rest his flocks there" (13:20c). Shepherds were constantly on the move to find enough grazing land for their flocks. As they led their flocks from their semipermanent dwelling places, they would often find themselves at the end of the day far from their tents. When darkness came, the shepherds would find a suitable spot for a sheepfold to bed their flock down for the night. At the break of dawn the shepherd and his flock would then move on. Isaiah is saying that Babylon will not even serve as a dwelling place for an individual for a single evening.

Isaiah's imagery builds to a climax. Babylon's destruction will rival that of Sodom and Gomorrah. Once this destruction has come Babylon will never again experience long-term habitation ("generations"). Babylon won't even experience temporary, short-term habitation ("tents"). Babylon won't experience any habitation ("no shepherd will rest his flocks"). Isaiah has used the most dramatic imagery available to announce to his readers that one result of Babylon's fall will be her sudden, complete, and permanent destruction.

In Isaiah 14 the prophet describes a second result of Babylon's fall. In some way Babylon's destruction will serve as a catalyst for God's restoration of His people. "The LORD will have compassion on Jacob; once again he will choose Israel and will settle them in their own land. Aliens will join them and unite with the house of Jacob" (14:1). Babylon's fall is connected with God's restoration of His people to the land.

When Babylon fell to Cyrus in 539 B.C. Jews were allowed to return to the land of Judah. Could this return be what Isaiah had in mind? The remainder of Isaiah 14 implies that the answer is no. The return described by Isaiah is not merely a physical return of a remnant who would still remain under Gentile domination. "And the house of Israel will possess the nations as menservants and maidservants in the LORD's land. They will make captives of their captors and rule over their oppressors" (14:2). Isaiah describes a return in which Israel becomes the dominant nation who will extend sovereign control over her former adversaries. One need only read Ezra, Nehemiah, Haggai, or Zechariah to realize that this was not the situation following Babylon's fall to Cyrus in 539 B.C.

The prophecies of Isaiah 13-14 were not fulfilled literally in 539 B.C. Babylon did fall, the Medes were involved, and a remnant from Israel did return to the land. However, Babylon continued to flourish as a city rather than being destroyed, the inhabitants of Babylon were not slaughtered, the day of the LORD did not extend to the world, and Israel did not return to the land making captives of her former captors. Either Isaiah's prophecies were not intended to be taken literally or else this prophecy has not yet been fulfilled.

#### Jeremiah 50-51

A century alter Isaiah penned his prophecy against Babylon, the city rose to become the center of power in the ancient Near East. Under Nebuchadnezzar Babylon's influence extended from Persia to Egypt. In Judah the young prophet Jeremiah predicted that Babylon would attack and

destroy Jerusalem. Jeremiah's predictions came true in 586 B.C.

The Book of Jeremiah is not in order chronologically. Instead, the book follows a thematic development. "Take a scroll and write on it all the words I have spoken to you concerning Israel, Judah and all the other nations" (Jer. 36:2). Chapters 2-45 focus on God's words to "Israel and Judah" while 46-51 focus on God's word to "all the other nations,"

As in Isaiah, one should look at the overall structure of Jeremiah's messages against the nations to determine where he is placing his emphasis. The nations addressed by Jeremiah include:

Egypt (46:1-28)-28 verses Philistia (47:1-7)-7 verses Moab (48:1-47)--47 verses Ammon (49:1-6)-6 verses Edom (49:7-22)--16 verses Damascus (49:23-27)--5 verses Kedar and Hazor (49:28-33)-6 verses Elam (49:34-39)-6 verses Babylon (50:1-51:64)-i 10 verses

No chiastic structure is evident, and no nations are included twice in the list. The nations listed first and last are Egypt and Babylon so these could be significant. (Egypt is the nation that supported Judah in her rebellion against Babylon, and Babylon is the nation that ultimately destroyed Judah.) The law of proportion supports the fact that Babylon is the dominant focus in the section. Of the 231 verses devoted to the nations, 110 of the verses (47.6%) focus on God's judgment against Babylon. But what does Jeremiah say about Babylon? Jeremiah provides two specific keys on the nature of the fulfillment one should expect for this prophecy. Each of these will be examined briefly.

The timing of the destruction. After announcing God's message "concerning Babylon and the land of the Babylonians" (50:1), Jeremiah describes an attack that will "lay waste her land" (50:3). Beginning in 50:4 Jeremiah supplies a specific time marker to help identify when this destruction of Babylon will happen. "'In those days, at that time,' declares the LORD, 'the people of Israel and the people of Judah together will go in tears to seek the LORD their God." In the days of Babylon's destruction Israel and Judah will experience a national regathering to the land.

Jeremiah's use of the phrase "in those days and at that time" ('MT 1 'r) is significant. The prophet uses this phrase in whole or in part nine times in his book, including twice in chapter 50. Of the seven occurrences outside Jeremiah 50, six of the occurrences have clear eschatological implications. The only exception is Jeremiah 5:18.

Jeremiah 3:16 "In those days, when your number have increased greatly in the land," declares the LORD, "men will no longer say, The ark of the covenant of the LORD.' It will never enter their minds or be remembered; it will not be missed, nor will another one be made."

Jeremiah 3:18 "In those days the house of Judah will join the house of Israel, and together they will come from a northern land to the land I gave your fore fathers as an inheritance."

Jeremiah 5:18 "Yet even in those days," declares the LORD, "I will not destroy you completely."

Jeremiah 31:29 "In those days people will no longer say, The fathers have eaten sour grapes, and the children's teeth are set on edge.""

Jeremiah 31:33 'This is the covenant I will make with the house of Israel after that time," declares the LORD. "I will put my law in their minds and write it on their hearts. I will be their God, and they will be my people."

1/24/22, 5:19 PM

Jeremiah 33:15 "In those days and at that time I will make a righteous Branch sprout from David's line: he will do what is just and right in the land."

Jeremiah 33:16 "In those days Judah will be saved and Jerusalem will live in safety. This is the name by which it will be called: The LORD Our Righteousness."

Jeremiah 50:4 "In those days, at that time," declares the LORD, "the people of Israel and the people of Judah together will go in tears to seek the LORD their God."

Jeremiah 50:20 "In those days, at that time," declares the LORD, "search will be made for Israel's guilt, but there will be none, and for the sins of Judah, but none will be found, for I will forgive the remnant I spare."

Jeremiah predicts that in the days of Babylon's destruction Israel and Judah will return to the land. A limited return to the land took place under Zerubbabel after Babylon fell to Cyrus, but is this the return to which Jeremiah is referring? The specifics of the passage seem to argue against the return under Zerubbabel being the fulfillment. First, Jeremiah indicates that this return will involve "the people of Israel and the people of Judah together" (50:4). This phrase links the remnant from both the northern and southern kingdoms and implies a return of all Jews to the land. Eight times Jeremiah links future language ("in those days" I'rTr MMI M M'MM] or "days are coming" I'; 'r Mill) with a reuniting of Israel and Judah.

Jeremiah 3:18 "In those days the house of Judah will join the house of Israel, and together they will come from a northern land to the land I gave your forefathers as an inheritance."

Jeremiah 23:56 "The days are coming," declares the LORD, "when I will raise up to David a righteous Branch .... In his days Judah will be saved and Israel will live in safety."

Jeremiah 30:3 "The days are coming," declares the LORD, "when I will bring my people Israel and Judah back from captivity and restore them to the land I gave their forefathers to possess."

Jeremiah 31:27 "The days are coming," declares the LORD, "when I will plant the house of Israel and the house of Judah with the offspring of men and animals."

Jeremiah 31:31 "The time is coming," declares the LORD, "when I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah."

Jeremiah 33:14 "The days are coming," declares the LORD, "when I will fulfill the gracious promise I made to the house of Israel and to the house of Judah."

Jeremiah 50:4 "In those days, at that time," declares the LORD, "the people of Israel and the people of Judah together will go in tears to seek the LORD their God."

Jeremiah 50:20 "In those days, at that time," declares the LORD, "search will be made for Israel's guilt, but there will be none, and for the sins of Judah, but none will be found, for I will forgive the remnant I spare."

Second, Jeremiah indicates that the return to the LORD following the destruction of Babylon will be both physical arid spiritual. Not only will Israel and Judah return physically to the land, "They will come and bind themselves to the LORD in an everlasting covenant that will not

be forgotten" (50:5). Jeremiah used the phrase "everlasting covenant" in 32:40 where it was parallel to the New Covenant. The return following the destruction of Babylon will bring a spiritual revival to the Jews.

Jeremiah adds additional information on this spiritual revival in 50:20. "In those days, at that time,' declares the LORD, 'search will be made for Israel's guilt, but there will be none, and for the sins of Judah, but none will be found, for I will forgive the remnant I spare." The return of Israel and Judah will be accompanied by a removal of their sin and guilt. This did not happen during the return under Zerubbabel. One need only read Ezra, Nehemiah, Haggal, Zecharlah, or Malachi to see the sin that plagued the remnant who had returned to the land. But Jeremiah's vision of Babylon's destruction is associated with a spiritual renewal among the people of Israel and Judah unprecedented in history.

The results of the destruction. In addition to providing some time elements associated with Babylon's fall, Jeremiah spends a great deal of time focusing on the results of the destruction that God will pour out on this city. Jeremiah makes at least four specific statements on the results of Babylon's fall.

- 1. Babylon's population will be killed. "Attack the land of Merathaim [i.e., "double rebellion"] and those who live in Pekod. Pursue, kill and completely destroy them" (50:2 1). "Come against her from afar. Break open her granaries; pile her up like heaps of grain. Completely destroy her and leave her no remnant. Kill her young bulls; let them go down to the slaughter! Woe to them! For their day has come, the time for them to be punished" (50:26-27). "Summon archers against Babylon, all those who draw the bow. Encamp all around her; let no one escape" (50:29). "Therefore, her young men will fall in the streets; all her soldiers will be silenced in that day" (50:30). "Do not spare her young men; completely destroy her army. They will fall down slain in Babylon, fatally wounded in her streets" (51:3-4). "The whole land will be disgraced and her slain will all lie fallen within her" (51:47).
- 2. Babylon's buildings will be plundered and her fortifications will be destroyed. "So Babylonia will be plundered; all who plunder her will have their fill" (50:10). "She surrenders, her towers fall, her walls are torn down" (50:15). "No rock will be taken from you for a cornerstone, nor any stone for a foundation, for you will be desolate forever" (51:26). "Her dwellings are set on fire; the bars of her gates are broken" (51:30). "Babylon's thick wall will be leveled and her high gates set on fire" (51:58).
- 3. The city and country will remain uninhabited. "No one will live in it; both men and animals will flee away" (50:3). "Because of the LORD's anger she will not be inhabited but will be completely

1/24/22, 5:19 PM

desolate" (50:13). "'As God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah along with their neighboring towns,' declares the LORD, 'so no one will live there; no man will dwell in it"' (50:40). 'The land trembles and writhes, for the LORD's purposes against Babylon stand-to lay waste the land of Babylon so that no one will live there" (51:29). "Babylon will be a heap of ruins, a haunt of jackals, an object of horror and scorn, a place where no one lives" (51:37). "Her towns will be desolate, a dry and desert land, a land where no one lives, through which no man travels" (51:43). "So Babylon will sink to rise no more because of the disaster I will bring upon her. And her people will fall" (51:64).

4. Only those who flee from the city will be spared. "Flee out of Babylon; leave the land of the Babylonians" (50:8). "Flee from Babylon! Run for your lives! Do not be destroyed because of her sins. It is time for the LORD's vengeance; he will pay her what she deserves" (51:6). "Come out of her, my people! Run for your lives! Run from the fierce anger of the LORD" (51:45).

If these descriptions are taken at face value, Babylon's fall results from a bloody battle that devastates the city. Only those wise enough to follow God's warning and flee before the battle begins will be spared. Once the battle is over Babylon will remain permanently desolate. These descriptions do not match Babylon's fall to Cyrus in 539 B.C. That fall was relatively peaceful and involved almost no death or destruction.

Two additional observations must be made on Jeremiah's description of the results of Babylon's fall. First, Jeremiah compares Babylon's fall to that of Sodom and Gomorrah. In doing so, Jeremiah is identifying his destruction of Babylon with the one prophesied nearly a century earlier by Isaiah (Jer. 50:39-40: Isa. 13:19-20). Second, Jeremiah specifically commands those who are God's people to flee from Babylon before this attack begins. Daniel had access to the prophecies of Jeremiah (cf. Dan. 9:2), and he was in Babylon the night it fell to the Medo-Persians (Dan. 5:30). If Jeremiah's prophecy was being fulfilled that night, should not Daniel have already fled from Babylon? Either Daniel was unfamiliar with Jeremiah's warning, or he chose to ignore Jeremiah's warning, or he did not identify Jeremiah's warning with the attack under way against Babylon in his day.

Jeremiah's prophecies were not fulfilled when Babylon fell to Cyrus in 539 B.C. The city was not destroyed, nor were the people killed. The city and surrounding land remained inhabited and productive. Israel and Judah did not combine a physical return to the land with a spiritual return to the LORD to be joined to Him in an everlasting covenant. Like Isaiah 13- 14, either Jeremiah 50-Si were not intended to be taken literally or else this prophecy has not yet been fulfilled.

# Zechariah 5:5-11

The third Old Testament prophecy relating to Babylon is Zechariah 5:5-11. Zechariah began his ministry in 520 B.C. to the remnant who had returned from Babylon with Zerubbabel and Joshua the high priest. This group returned to Jerusalem in 538 B.C. when Cyrus permitted the remnant to return and to build their temple. However, shortly after beginning the rebuilding of the temple in 536 B.C. the people halted their work because of local opposition. One purpose for God raising up the prophet Zechariah was to encourage the people to resume their work on the temple of the Lord (Ezra 5:1). However, Zechariah looked beyond the temple to describe events leading up to both the first and second coming of the Messiah.

Zechariah's prophecy relating to Babylon is part of his series of eight night visions which form the first segment of his work (1:7-6:8). The prophecy itself is the seventh of the eight night visions. There is some evidence that these eight night visions are arranged in a chiastic structure. [70]

A. The rider and horses among the myrtle trees (1:7-17) (God is upset with nations who have oppressed Israel)

B. The four hoi1ii and four craftsmen (1:18-21) (The nations who have scattered Judah will be judged)

C. The man with the measuring line (2:1-13) (Jerusalem will be physically restored)

D. Clean garments for the high priest (3:1-10) (Israel will be blessed when the Branch comes)

D' The gold lampstand and two olive trees (4:1-14) (God will empower His servants to complete the work)

C' The flying scroll (5:1-4) (The land will be purged of sinners)

B' The woman in the basket (5:5-11) (Evil will return to the land of Shinar)

A' The four chariots (6:1-8) (God will conquer the nations who have opposed Israel)

In Zechariah's seventh night vision a "measuring basket" (lit. "ephah"] appears before the prophet. Inside the basket is a woman. The angel speaking with Zechariah identifies the woman in the basket: "This is wickedness" (Zech. 5:8). One key question is the location of this wickedness. Zechariah identifies it as the iniquity (or "appearance" I "of the people

throughout the land" (5:6).[71] The word for "land" is r' which can be translated "land" or "earth." Zechariah uses the word 40 times in his book. Excluding the passage in question Zechariah uses) '21 times of the whole earth, 14 times to refer specifically to the land of Israel, and 4 times to refer to other specific lands (Shinar, Hadrach, Egypt, and Gilead). This personification of wickedness could refer to the wickedness residing in the land of Israel, or it could refer to the wickedness throughout the earth.

Whether Zechariah is referring to the wickedness in the land of Israel or the wickedness of the entire earth, one point is clear in the passage. This wickedness was being held in check in Zechariah's day. A "cover of lead" had to be raised off the ephah before Zecharlah could gaze at this

personification of evil. As soon as he had seen the woman who represented evil and she had been identified, "he pushed her back into the basket and pushed the lead cover down over its mouth" (5:8). Whatever this wickedness represented, God was not allowing it to escape in Zechariah's day.

As Zechariah gazed at the basket it was carried away by two additional angelic beings. Zechariah turned to his angelic guide and asked, "Where are they taking the basket?" (5:10). What was to be the final destiny of this container of evil? The angel's answer was very precise: To the country of Babylon" Flit. "to the land of Shinar"I. Shinar occurs seven times in the Old Testament. Four of the occurrences are in Genesis where it is associated with the city of Babel established by Nimrud following the flood (Gen. 10), with the tower of Babel (Gen. 11), and with the coalition of nations threatening the land God promised to Abram (Gen. 14). Isaiah 11:11 uses it in a list of places from which God will regather His people in the Messianic age. Daniel 1:2 identifies Shinar as the location to which Daniel and his friends were carried by Nebuchadnezzar. In short, every occurrence of Shinar identifies it as the land associated with Babylon.[72] Zechariah saw wickedness flying back to Babylon.

The angels were taking wickedness to Babylon "to build a house for it" (Zech. 5:11). Some have translated "house" as "temple,"[73] but it seems to this writer that such a translation presupposes a religious character that is not obvious from the text. While r112 can be translated "temple," its basic meaning is "house" or "dwelling place. "[74] The point of Zechariah's vision is that a new abode for wickedness will again be set up in Shinar. "When it is ready, the basket will be set there in its place" (5:11).

Zechariah penned these words 19 years after Babylon's fall to Cyrus. If the prophecies of Isaiah and Jeremiah had been fulfilled in the fall of Babylon, then Zechariah's words seem out of place. However, if the prophecies of Isaiah and Jeremiah were not fulfilled when Cyrus captured the city, then Zechariah's vision could relate in some way to those earlier prophecies. God was holding wickedness in check, but there would come a time when wickedness would once again have a dwelling place in Babylon.

Two specific points of note on Zechariah 5:5-11 must be made in closing. First, Zecharlah personifies as a woman the evil that will one day dwell again in Shinar. Could this be the underlying imagery behind description of Babylon in Revelation 17? Second, if Zechariah's eight night visions are in a chiastic structure, then the end-time evil in Shinar (5:5- 11) is parallel in some way to the vision of four evil empires ("horns") that oppress Judah until they are removed by the Lord. Zecharlah's four nations are suspiciously parallel to Daniel's four Gentile powers that control Jerusalem during the "limes of the Gentiles" (Dan. 2; 7). The final Gentile power (the fourth horn) of Zecharlah would be parallel to the "feet of iron and clay" of Daniel 2 or the "fourth beast" of Daniel 7. But how could wickedness in Shinar (Zech. 5) be associated with the fourth Gentile power (Zech. 1)? Once again

Revelation 17 may provide the answer. John describes the evil woman named Babylon astride the "beast" that is parallel to the fourth beast of Daniel 7. Both Babylon and the fourth empire are associated in John's end-time vision as Zechariah's chiastic structure would suggest.

But while these parallels are interesting, one cannot make any positive identification from Zecharlah alone. Having examined the three key Old Testament prophecies on Babylon, this study must now turn to John's vision of Babylon in the Book of Revelation. It is this writer's belief that John pulls together the threads of numerous Old Testament prophecies, including the prophecies of Babylon.

#### Revelation 17-18

One key factor in interpreting God's prophetic program is the identification of the eschatological Babylon described by the Apostle John in Revelation 17-18. These two chapters occupy a significant portion of the Book of Revelation, and they provide a graphic account of God's future judgment on evil. However, interpreters face many problems in trying to identify the end-time system of evil pictured in these two chapters. What is the "Babylon" described by John in these two chapters?

The relationship between Revelation 17 and 18 is crucial to a proper understanding of the Babylon referred to in both. Do Revelation 17 and 18 separately describe two distinct Babylons, as many Bible teachers have long held? Those who hold such a position believe that Revelation 17 describes "ecclesiastical" Babylon which will be destroyed by the Antichrist in the middle of the Tribulation period and that Revelation 18 describes "economic" Babylon-the capital of the Antichrist that will be destroyed at the end of the Tribulation period. Or, do these two chapters unite in presenting the fall of a single Babylon, whatever that Babylon might be? These questions must be answered.

The distinctions between the chapters. Any attempt to understand the relationship between Revelation 17 and 18 must take into account several distinctions that appear between the two chapters. Primarily because of these distinctions many expositors argue for the identification of two Babylons in the chapters. Four arguments against the unity of the two chapters have been advanced by various authors.

(a) Different settings. The first difficulty faced in trying to identify the subject of these two chapters is the different settings for each chapter. The chapters tell of two visions introduced by two different angels. Chapter 18 begins, "After this I saw another angel coming down from heaven." The problem centers on the expression "after this" (peTd raDra). John used this phrase a number of times in the Book of Revelation, and several times it indicated a major break between events. "The phrase is of great importance in Revelation 1:19 and 4:1 .... The phrase... suggests that after the events described in Revelation 17 have run their course, the judgment of Babylon Fin chapter

# 18] has still to occur."[75]

Does use of the phrase "after this" (J.IETd Tal'rra) demand a gap between these chapters? John used this phrase 10 times in the Book of Revelation. Six times it occurs with a word of perception, and four times it does not. When the phrase is used with a verb of perception ("I saw," "I heard") It simply indicates the time sequence in which the visions were revealed to John. This is the temporal use of /.LETI i-ai)ra. In this usage John was indicating that the time sequence was in his observation of the visions and not necessarily in the unfolding of future events. When John wanted to indicate a gap of time in future events, he did not include a verb of perception. The 10 occurrences are as follows:

#### Temporal Use

- 4: la "After this I looked, and there before me was a door open in heaven."
- 7:1 "After this I saw four angels standing at the four corners of the earth...
- 7:9 "After this I looked and there before me was a great multitude that no one could count.
- 15:5 "After this I looked and in heaven the temple, that is, the tabernacle of the Testimony, was opened."
- 18:1 "After this I saw another angel coming down from heaven."
- 19:1 "After this I heard what sounded like the roar of a great multitude in heaven .......

## Eschatological Use

- 1:19 "Write, therefore, what you have seen, what is now and what will take place later."
- 4: lb "Come up here, and I will show you what must take place after this."
- 9:12 "The first woe is past: two other woes are yet to come."
- 20:3 "He threw him into the abyss... to keep him from deceiving the nations anymore until the thousand years were ended. After that, he must be set free for a short time."

The four references not associated with verbs of perception do indicate chronological distinctions between future events. However, those with verbs of perception only indicate the order in which the parts of the vision are viewed by John. Thus the mere presence of /1E7d MUM in 18:1 does not indicate a chronological distinction between the chapters. It only shows that the events revealed to John by the second angel were shown after he had viewed the woman on the beast.

(b) Different destroyers. A second alleged distinction between Revelation 17 and 18 is the apparent difference between the destroyers of Babylon. The Babylon of chapter 17 is destroyed by kings whereas the Babylon of chapter 18 is destroyed by fire. The destruction of the "harlot Babylon" occurs in 17:16, which says, "The beast and the ten horns... will hate the prostitute. They will bring her to ruin and leave her naked . . . ." The destruction of the "commercial Babylon" occurs in

18:8, which says, "Therefore in one day her plagues will overtake her: death, mourning and famine. She will be consumed by fire, for mighty is the Lord God who judges her."

A second distinction in destroyers between the chapters has also been suggested. The destruction is a contrast not only between the 10 kings and fire, but also between a destruction by man and a destruction by God. "The great harlot is destroyed by the ten kings (Rev. 17:16b); but the city of Babylon [chap. 18] is destroyed by God..." [76]

If these two distinctions are valid, then any attempt to view the chapters as a unit will be doomed to failure. However, are these distinctions consistent with the text? A careful evaluation shows that they are not. For example, it is held that the "harlot Babylon" of chapter 17 was destroyed by men while the "commercial Babylon" of chapter 18 was destroyed by fire. This does not explain 17:16b, which says, "They will... burn her with fire." Thus in reality the Babylon in both chapters is destroyed by fire.

The distinction is made between man's destruction (chap. 17) and God's destruction (chap. 18). This, however, fails to account for 17:17, which explains the destruction of the harlot by the beast and 10 kings as stemming initially from God. "For God has put it into their hearts to accomplish his purpose . . . ." Both chapters do ascribe the destruction to God.

Revelation 17 and 18 are more similar than many expositors believe. A chart shows that, in fact, the chapters do not have different destroyers.

	Revelation 17	
	Revelation 17	Revelation 18
Object of destruction	"Babylon the great The great city"	"Babylon the great O great city, Babylon" (18:10)
Instrument of Destruction	"The beast and the ten horns you saw" (17:16)	(not given)
Means of Destruction	"They will burn her with fire" (17:16)	"She will be consumed by fire" (18:8)

"For God has
put it into "For mighty is the
Source of their hearts to Lord God who
Destruction accomplish judges her
His purpose" (18:8)
(17:17)

This chart shows that the only distinction to be found is the instrument of destruction. Chapter 17, focuses on the human instrument while chapter 18 does not. If the chapters are viewed synthetically, the alleged distinctions between the destroyers vanish. In their place stand a unified whole with each chapter focusing on a different aspect of one destruction.

(c) Different responses. A third distinction between Revelation 17 and 18 is the different responses to the destruction that

are ascribed to the kings of each chapter.[77] The response of the kings in chapter 17 is recorded in 17:16. "The beast and the ten horns you saw will hate the prostitute. They will bring her to ruin and leave her naked; they will eat her flesh and burn her with fire." The "ten horns" are identified in 17:12 as "ten kings."

In contrast to the hatred and destruction of Babylon by the kings of chapter 17, the kings of chapter 18 respond by mourning. "When the kings of the earth who committed adultery with her and shared her luxury see the smoke of her burning, they will weep and mourn over her" (18:9).

Two opposite responses are attributed to the kings of each chapter. However, there is an explanation apart from assuming two Babylons. An alternative is to assume that two distinct groups of kings are in view in the two chapters. As Ladd has observed, "The kings of the earth [in 18:9-101 are to be distinguished from the 10 kings who joined with the beast to war against the Lamb

(17:12-14)."[78] Thus the kings who hate Babylon (17:16) are those 10 kings who unite with the beast to plot her overthrow. The remaining kings of the earth (18:9-10) are engaged in commerce with Babylon, so they mourn when their source of revenue is destroyed. This view is consistent with the particulars of the text but still seeks to harmonize the two chapters.

(d) Different character. The final difference between the chapters is the different character of each Babylon that is described. Chapter 17 is said to be religious in nature

while chapter 18 is more commercial. Many feel that these differences can best be explained by the existence of two Babylons in the chapters. "Revelation 17 sets forth a religious power centered at the seven-hilled city of Rome exerting control over all people until the Antichrist has no further use for its existence, while the city of Babylon [chapter 18] is a great commercial center controlling trade and commerce on a worldwide scale."[79]

Is there a difference in character between these chapters? Chapter 17 contains a vision with an interpretation. Babylon is referred to in the vision as a woman riding a beast. In a sense a vision is a word picture. However, the fact that something is presented in a pictorial fashion does not mean that it has no concrete reality. The nation Israelis no less Israel because it is pictured as a woman in Revelation 12. Likewise Babylon is no less Babylon even though it is pictured as a harlot. The key to the vision in chapter 17 is the divine interpretation given in 17:7-18. This gives the concrete reality behind the vision. What then is the truth about the harlot? Does she represent a religious system, a spiritual prostitute? Revelation 17:18 suggests that the answer is no: "The woman you saw is the great city that rules over the kings of the earth."

Babylon is pictured as a woman in chapter 17. However, when God identifies the woman to John, He tells John that the woman represents a city. Therefore the entire argument crumbles because the chapters do contain the same character. Both chapters are talking about a city. This may not automatically mean that the Babylons in the two chapters are identical, but it certainly cannot be used to argue against such an identification.

Four distinctions between chapters 17 and 18 have been examined. Not one of the four distinctions contains compelling evidence for making a division between the chapters. The different settings are merely temporal aspects connected with John's viewing of the visions. Supposed differences between the destroyers vanish when the chapters are viewed synthetically. The different responses by the kings are explained by the existence of two distinct groups of kings within the chapters, and the alleged different character of the chapters actually vanishes when the spotlight of God's interpretation is focused on the woman in chapter 17.

The specific parallels between the

chapters. A detailed examination of Revelation 17-18 uncovers a number of parallels between the two chapters. These can best be viewed in chart form.

## THE DESIGNATION

The name	"Babylon the	Babylon the
is the same	Great" (17:5)	Great" (18:2)
The identity is the same	"The woman	"Woe! Woe,
	is the great	O great city"
	city" (17:18)	(18:10)

However one wishes to interpret the Babylon of Revelation 17, he or she must acknowledge that the divine identification of the prostitute in Revelation 17 is a city, not a mystical system. These two chapters each present a city that has the same name in the same general context. The most natural interpretation is to take the cities as identical unless there is compelling evidence to the contrary.

## THE DESCRIPTION

		"Woe!
	"The woman was	Woe, O
	dressed in	great city,
	purple and	dressed in
	scarlet, and was	fine
The	linen, purple	and
clothing is	glittering with	scarlet,
the same	gold,	and
	glittering	with gold,
	precious stones,	precious
	and pearls"	stones
	(17:4a)	and pearls"
		(18:16)
	"She held a	
	golden cup in	"Mix her a
	her hand, filled	double
Both hold	with abominable	portion
a cup	things	from her
	and the filth of	own cup"
	her adulteries"	(18:6)
	(17:4b)	

Both Babylons are identified as a city, and both are described in the same fashion. Apart from the addition of "fine linen" in chapter 18, both cities are arrayed with exactly the same materials. Also both are associated with a cup that each possesses. Instead of seeing two different cities that happen to have the same name and the same description, it is easier to assume the existence of only one city.

# THE DEEDS

The relationship to kings is the same	"With her the kings of the earth committed adultery" (17:2) "The Inhabitants	adultery with of her adulteries" (18:3) "For all the
The	of the	have
_	earth were	
to the	intoxicated	
nations is	with the	wine
the same	wine of her	
and dame	adulteries"	
	(17:2)	(18:3)
	"I saw that	(1010)
	the woman	"In her was
	was	found the
	drunk with	blood
	the blood	of prophets
The	of the	and of the
relationship	saints, the	
to	blood of	of all who
believers is	those	had
the same	who bore	been killed
	testimony	on the
	to	earth"
	Jesus"	(18:24)
	(17:6)	( /
	()	

The Babylons in both chapters perform the same functions. Each commits fornication with the kings of the earth and causes all the nations of the earth to fall into a drunken stupor. Each also persecutes God's remnant who stand in opposition to evil. One cannot distinguish a political Babylon from a religious Babylon through a comparison of their deeds because the deeds are identical.

## THE DESTRUCTION

	"They will	
The	bring her	"She will be consumed by "fire" (18:8)
means of	to ruin	
destruction	and burn	
is the	her with	
same	fire	1116 (10.0)
	(17:16)	
	"For God	"God has
The source of the destruction is the same	has put it	remembered
	into	her
	their	crimesfor
	hearts to	mighty is the
	accomplish	Lord God
	his	who judges
	purpose"	her"
	(17:17)	(18:5, 8)

These final similarities surround the destruction of both Babylons. Physically both are destroyed by fire. And in both instances God is the ultimate source of destruction.

The parallels between the chapters are impressive. Each chapter refers to a city with the same name. Each describes a city in the same fashion. Each mentions a city that performs the same deeds, and each refers to a city that is destroyed in the same manner. These descriptions, going beyond mere similarity, point toward unity. Two distinct cities could hardly be described in such a similar way. It is better to view the chapters as two descriptions

of the same city.

The larger context. The larger context in which Revelation 17 and 18 are positioned also underscores the parallelism between the chapters. The larger context actually begins in 14:8, which first predicts an angel flying in mid-heaven proclaiming proleptically, "Fallen! Fallen is Babylon the Great, which made all the nations drink the maddening wine of her adulteries." Several of the phrases used here are later repeated in Revelation 17 and 18. The title "Babylon the Great" is used in all three chapters; and the proclamation "Fallen! Fallen is Babylon the Great" is repeated in 18:2. The reference to the nations being intoxicated with the "wine of her adulteries" is also found in 17:2 and 18:3. This one proclamation is fulfilled by chapters 17 and 18, and yet there is only one Babylon in view in 14:8.

The next appearance of Babylon occurs during the outpouring of the seventh bowl in chapter 16. Part of the judgment is that "God remembered Babylon the Great and gave her the cup filled with the wine of the fury of his wrath" (16:19). Again only one Babylon is in view. Immediately after this pronouncement John recorded the destruction of "Babylon the Great" in chapters 17-18. What is important is that chapters 17-18 are an expansion of 16:19, which seems to refer to the destruction of a city called Babylon which is pictured as a literal city.

The larger context begins before chapters 17-18, but it does not end there. The subject of the fall of Babylon extends beyond these chapters into chapter 19. Revelation 19:1-5 presents the "Hallelujah Chorus" in heaven following the

destruction of Babylon.
As Ladd has noted, "The first paragraph of chapter nineteen continues the celebration of the fall of Babylon and consists of a song of thanksgiving in heaven that God had judged the great

harlot."[80]

Chapter 19 begins with the phrase "After this"referring to the visions of chapters 17- 18. In 18:20 the author calls on heaven to rejoice over the fall of Babylon; chapter 19 describes heaven's response to that call. The first part of the heavenly praise focuses on the prostitute of chapter 17. The multitude says, "He has condemned the great prostitute who corrupted the earth by her adulteries. He has avenged on her the blood of his servants" (19:2). In response to the angels' call to rejoice over the fall of Babylon in 18:20 the heavens do respond-with a song of praise for the judgment of the harlot. The implication is that the harlot of chapter 17 and the Babylon of chapter 18

The song of praise continues in 19:3, which says, "And again they shouted: Hallelujah! The smoke from her goes up for ever and ever." The reference to the smoldering city is drawn from chapter 18, in which the kings of the earth and the shipmasters are said to look on "the smoke of her burning" (18:9, 18). The praise song in heaven over the fall of Babylon incorporates elements from both chapter 17 and chapter 18, and yet it seems to be a song celebrating just one fall and doing so in response to the command of 18:20. Again

are identical.

this larger context can be understood best if chapters 17 and 18 are viewed as a unit that looks forward to the destruction of a single city of Babylon.

The interpretive keys within the chapters. John's picture of a prostitute astride a scarlet beast in chapter 17 could be entitled "Beauty on the Beast." The vision is described in the first 6 verses and then interpreted in the next 12 verses. Chapter 18 focuses on the response of individuals to Babylon's destruction. Within the two chapters are four interpretive keys that are crucial to the identification of Babylon.

(a) The description of Babylon as a harlot. The first interpretive key is the descriptive identification of Babylon in 17:1 as "the great prostitute, who sits on many waters." This allusion to a prostitute has caused many to identify Babylon as a false religious system. "The frequently recurring allusion to harlotry... is an echo of the Old Testament prophets, who used the term to describe the infidelity of man to God, especially in connection with

idolatry."[81]

Admittedly the figure of a prostitute was used in the Old Testament to describe idolatry. However, the figure was also used in the Old Testament to show more than just religious apostasy. Literal cities

such as Nineveh (Nahum 3:4), Tyre (Isa. 23:16-17), and Jerusalem (Ezek. 16:1, 15) were characterized as being prostitutes. "In the context of Revelation 17 and 18 the image is not that of religious profligacy but of the prostitution of all that is right and noble for the questionable ends of power and

luxury."[82]

Babylon is identified as a prostitute. But the reference is not to her spiritual nature. Rather the focus is on the prostitution of her values for economic gain. The figure of a harlot was never applied to a religious system only. It was always used to describe a city or nation (Jerusalem, Israel, Samaria, Nineveh, or Tyre). Why did John describe Babylon as a harlot? One reason was to contrast Babylon and Jerusalem. Two cities in Revelation are described as "great"-Jerusalem and Babylon. John, through his use of literary parallels, highlights the contrast between the destruction of Babylon and the final triumph of Jerusalem.

Destruction Establishment of Babylon of Jerusalem Revelation Revelation

17:1, 3-5, 18 21:1-11, 27

One of the

One of the seven angels

seven angels who had the who had the seven bowls

seven full of the bowls seven last

plagues

came and came and

said to me, said to me,

"Come, I will

show you the

"Come, I will punishment

of

show you the bride, the wife

the great

of the Lamb."

prostitute . . .

And he

Then the

carried me angel carried

me away in

away in the

the

Spirit to a mountain

Spirit into a

great and

desert

precious

high

The woman It shone with

was dressed the glory of

in purple and God, and its

scarlet and brilliance was

was glittering like that of a

with gold, very precious

jewel, like a

stones, and jasper, clear

pearls as crystal

This tulle and [he]

was written showed me

on her the Holy City,

forehead: A Jerusalem,

mystery, coming down

Babylon the out of heaven

Great, The from God ....

mother of Nothing

prostitutes impure will

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 32 of 122

and of the

abominations

of the ever

earth .... The enter it, nor

woman you will anyone

saw is the who does

great what

is city that shameful or

rules over deceitful ....

the kings of

the earth.

(b) The explanation of Babylon as a mystery. The second interpretive key centers on the name written on the harlot's forehead. More specifically, it revolves around the explanation of the word (myst&iort) in 17:5. Babylon is described as a "mystery."

Two problems must be resolved before this interpretive key can be properly understood. The first is the determination of the grammatical relationship between the word pumptor and the title of the woman. According to Robertson

pvcmptou

could be taken "either in

apposition

with

ortoma

["name"]

or as part

of the

inscription

on her

[i.e., the

prostitute's]

forehead."[83]

So either

John

could be

saying

that the

name on

the

woman is

"Mystery Babylon

the

Great" or

he could

be saying

that the

name,

"Babylon

the

Great,"

which is

written

on the

woman's

forehead,

ls a

mystery.

Of the

two

possibilities,

the

second

offers the

best

explanation

within the

context.

Whenever

the

woman is

named

elsewhere

in the

chapters

she is

simply called

"Babylon

the Great"

not

"Mystery Babylon the Great" (e.g., 14:8: 16:19; 18:2).

The second problem that must be resolved is the exact nature of the mystery. In what sense is this Babylon mystery?

Many feel that the occurrence of

"musterion" means that

Babylon is to be

interpreted symbolically

figuratively.[84]

However, the idea of equating "musterion"

with

something mystical

cannot be

borne

out in

the New

Testament

usage of the

word. The

word "musterion" does

not

denote

the

quality

or

character

of

the

truth;

rather

it

focuses

on

the

availability

of

that

truth.

But

whereas

"mystery"

may

mean,

and

in

contemporary

usage

often

does

mean,

а

secret for

which no

answer

can

be

found,

this

is

not

at all

the

connotation

of

the

term

rnysterion

in

classical

and

biblical

Greek.

In

the

New

Testament

mysterion signifies

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 36 of 122

а

secret

which

is

being,

or

even

has

been,

revealed,

which

is

also

divine

in

scope,

and

needs

to

be

made

known

by

God

to

men

through

His

Spirit.

In

this way

the

term

comes

very

close

to the

New

Testament

word

apokalypsis, "revelation."

Mysteriort

is

temporary

secret,

which

once

revealed

is

known

and

understood-a

secret

no

longer.[85]

Calling

the

harlot's name

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 37 of 122

does

mystery

not

automatically

mean

spiritual

mystical

system

of

evil

as

opposed

to

а

literal

"brick

and

mortar"

city.

Ву

designating

Babylon

as

а

"mystery"

God

was

indicating

to

John

that

the

vision being

given had

not

been

made known

before.

То

understand

the

"mystery"

in

its

context

one

must

examine

17:7-18,

for

in

these

verses

God

reveals

the

meaning and

significance

38 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM of the vision.

The

"mystery"

that

John

saw

was

two

end-

time

world

powers

the (

prostitute

and

the

beast

on

which

she

was

riding)

in

existence

at

the

same

time.

The

Old

Testament

did

point to

the

rise

of

Rome

which

was

to rule

the

world

just

prior

to

the

establishment

of

Christ's

kingdom

(Dan.

2:40-45;

7:23-27:

9:26-27).

However,

the

Old

Testament

also

predicted

39 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

the restoration of Babylon as а major power in God's future prophetic program lsa. 13-14; Jer. 50-51: Zech. 5:5-11). Bút how could both of these empires exist simultaneously and fit into God's program for the world? That was the "mystery" revealed to John. After viewing the vision (Rev. 17:1-6), the angel said to John, "I will explain to you the mystery (yucrnpio)

of the

woman and of the beast she

rides"

(17:7).

(c) The

identification

of

Babylon

as

а

city. There

is

no

lack of

opinion

concerning

the

identification

of the

prostitute

called

Babylon.

However,

most

of

the

identifications

do

not

begin with

the

divine

interpretation

of the

vision

given at

the

end of

chapter

17.

In

17:18

the

angel

interpreted

the

harlot

to

John: "The

woman

you

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 41 of 122

saw

is

the

great

city

that

rules

over

the

kings

of

the

earth."

Whatever

else

is

said

about

the

prostitute, God

identifies

her

first

as

а

city,

not

an

ecclesiastical

system.

The

divine

interpretive key

in

17:18

identifies

the

Babylon

of

chapter

17

as

а city.

lt

is

а

city of

worldwide

importance,

for

it

is

said

to

reign

over

the

other kings

of

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 42 of 122

the

earth.

lt

is

true

that

the

identification

can

go beyond

the

city

to

the

system

it

controls.

However,

the

interpretation

given

to

John

focused

only

on

the

identification

of

Babylon

as

a city.

In

the

secularized

West,

society

separates "church"

and

"state,"

but

no

such

separation

existed

in

antiquity.

Babylon

may

have

а

religious aspect

(for

example,

she

persecutes

believers),

but

this

does not

43 of 122

1/24/22, 5:19 PM

argue against

Babylon

being

а

literal

city.

(d) The

location

of

Babylon

on

seven

hills.

The

beast

on

which

the

woman

is

sitting

is

described

as

having

seven

heads.

When

the

angel

interpreted

this

part of

the

vision

to

John

he

said, "This

calls

for

а

mind

with wisdom.

The

seven

heads

are

seven

hills

[mountains]

on

which

the

woman

sits.

They

are

also

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 44 of 122

seven

kings.

Five

have

fallen,

one

is, the

other

has

not

yet

come;

but

when

he

does

come,

he

must

remain

for

а

little

while"

(17:9-10). What

are

the

seven

hills

on

which

the

woman

is

sitting?

The

traditional understanding

of

the

seven hills

is that

they

refer

to

the

city of

Rome,

known

in

John's

day

as

the

seven-

hilled

city.[86]

This

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 45 of 122

view

that

the

seven

hills

refer

to

Rome

has

some

serious

flaws.

The

first

flaw

is

the

assumed

relationship

between

the

woman

and

the

hills.

The

seven

heads

are

associated

with

the

beast,

not

the

woman.

There

is

а

distinction

between

the

woman

and

the

beast; and

it

is

the

beast

that

has the

seven

heads.

The

angel

said,

"|

will

explain

to

you

the

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 46 of 122

mystery of

the

woman

and

of

the

beast she

rides,

which

has

the

seven

heads"

(17:7).

Ìf

the

seven

hills

refer

to

Rome,

then

the

most

that can

be

determined

is

that

the

Antichrist's

empire will

be

centered

in

the

city of

Rome.

lt

does

not

identify

the location

of

the

prostitute

because

she

is

not

organic

part

of

the

beast.

Some might

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 47 of 122

argue that

the

harlot

is

still

to

be

associated

with

the

city

of .

seven

hills

because

they

are

described

in

17:9

as "seven

hills

on

which

the

woman

sits."

However,

the

prostitute's

sitting

on

the

seven hills

is а

reference to

her

control

or

influence

not

to

her

location.

In

17:1

the

woman

is

sitting

on

"many waters." These

are

interpreted

in 17:15

as

"peoples,

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 48 of 122

multitudes, nations,

and

languages."

The

purpose

of

this

part of

the vision

is

not

to

show

Babylon's

location

or

else

the

city

would

have

to

be

parceled

out

throughout

the

world.

Rather,

the

prostitute

sitting

on

the

waters

is

а

reference

to

her

control

or

influence

over

all

the

nations

of

the world.

The

woman

is

also

said

to

sit

on the

entire

beast

(17:3).

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 49 of 122

This

would

go beyond

just

the

seven

heads

to

include

the

Antichrist

and

the

kings allied with

him.

Again the

reference

is

to

her

control or

influence,

not

to

her

location.

lf

the

harlot's

sitting

clearly

indicates

control

or

influence

twice

in

the

chapter,

is it

not

inconsistent

to give

that

same

figure

а

different

meaning

when

it

occurs

for

a third

time? lt

is

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 50 of 122

far

more

consistent

to

view

the

harlot's

sitting

as

indicative

of

her

control

over

the

seven

mountains,

rather

than

having

it

point

to

her

physical

location.

Even

if

the

seven

hills are

taken

as

а

reference

to

Rome,

that

identification

cannot

be

used

to

associate

the

harlot

with

Rome. The

woman

and

the

seven

heads

are

distinct;

and

the

position

of

the

woman

indicates

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 51 of 122

control,

not

location.

However,

there

is

evidence

to

believe

that

the

seven

hills

could

refer

to

something

other

than

the

city

of

Rome.

То

understand

properly

the

symbolism

of

the

seven

mountains

one

must

go beyond

the

Greco-

Roman

society

in

which

John wrote

to

the

Jewish

heritage

in which

he

was

raised.

John

was

а

Jew,

and

the Book

of

Revelation

must

be

interpreted

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 52 of 122

in light of

the Old

Testament.

As

**Jenkins** 

has

said, "The

book

of

Revelation

is

the

most

thoroughly

Jewish

in

its

language

and

imagery

of

any

New

Testament

book.

This

book

speaks

not

the

language

of

Paul,

but

of

the Old

Testament

prophets

İsaiah, Ezekiel,

and

Daniel."[87]

То

understand

the

seven

mountains

one

must

go

to

the Old

Testament

to

see

how

this

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 53 of 122

symbol

was

used.

The

word "mountain"

was

often

symbolic

reference

to

kingdom

or

national

power. The

following

Old

Testament

passages

show

this

usage

of

the

word.

"In

the

last days

the

mountain

of

the LORD's

temple will

be

established

as

chief

among

the

mountains;

it

will be

raised

above

the

hills,

and

all the

nations

will

stream

to it"

(Isa. 2:2).

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 54 of 122

"" am against you, Ô destroying mountain, you who destroy the whole earth,' declares the LORD. η. will stretch out my hand against you, roll you off the cliffs, and make you а burnedout mountain" (Jer. 51:25). [The Lord is here speaking to the nation of

"But the rock that struck

Revelation 17-18.]

Babylon: see Jer. 50:1. Jeremiah 50-51 are quoted extensively

55 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

the

statue

became

а

huge

mountain

and

filled

the

whole

earth.

In

the

time

of

those

kings,

the

God

of

heaven will

set up

a

kingdom that

will

never be

destroyed,

nor will

it

be left

to

another

people.

Ìt

will crush

all

those

kingdoms

and

bring

them to

an

end,

but

it

will itself

endure

forever"

(Dan. 2:35, 44). [God

identified

the

mountain

as

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 56 of 122

the

everlasting

kingdom

He

will

set

up.] The

figure

of

а

mountain

is

used

in

the

Old

Testament

to

refer

to

kingdom.

However,

there

is

yet

another

reason

for

identifying

the

seven

mountains

in

Revelation

17

as

а

reference

to

seven

kingdoms.

This

interpretation

is

to

be

preferred

because

it

best

explains

the

dual

identification

of

the

seven

heads

as

both

mountains

and

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 57 of 122

kings.

lf

the

seven

mountains

are

applied

to

Rome,

then

the

seven

kings

must

be

seven

rulers

of

Rome.

However,

there

is

some

difficulty

in

relating

the

known

history

of

Rome's

rulers

to the

seven

kings

of

the vision.

One

must

leave

out

three Roman

emperors

(Galba,

Òtho,

and

Vitellius)

to

have

the

history

of

Rome

fit

John's

chronology.

But

this

is not

sound

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 58 of 122

interpretation.

"Such

а

procedure

is

arbitrary,

for

Galba,

Otho

and

Vitellius,

unimportant

as

they

may

have

been,

were

bona

fide

emperors

and

were

recognized

such

by

ancient

historians."[88]

The

divine

interpretation

associates

each

head

with

both

а

mountain

and

а

king. This

can

best be

explained

by

viewing

the

"mountain"

as

а

figure

of

speech

that

refers

to

kingdom

and

the

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 59 of 122

king

who

was

ruling

it.

This

relationship

is

most

clearly illustrated

in

Daniel's

interpretation

of

Nebuchadnezzar's

dream

in

Daniel

2. "You

are

the

head

of

gold. After

you,

another

kingdom

will

rise, inferior

to

yours" (Dan.

2:38b-39).

Daniel

wrote

that the

head

of

gold

was

а

king,

but that

the

breast

and

arms

of

silver

were

another kingdom.

Daniel

was

obviously viewing the

kingdom

of

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 60 of 122

Babylon

as

personified

in

the

king

that

stood

before

him.

Thus

he

could

switch

from

the

king

to

the

kingdom

with

no

inconsistency.

The

Apostle

John

is

using

the

ideas

of

kingdoms and

rulers

in

the

same

way.

Thé

seven

heads

which

are

identified

as

"mountains"

and

"kings"

in

Revelation

17:9-10

refer

to

seven

empires and

their

kings rather

than

to

the

city

Rome.

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 61 of 122

The

four

interpretive

keys

within

Revelation

17-18

provide

vital

information

on

the

identity

of

Babylon. Babylon

is

first

and

foremost

а

literal

city

that

will

dominate

the

world.

lt

will

be

characterized

as

а

harlot

that

prostitutes

her

moral

values

for

material

luxury.

The

entire

city

is

viewed

as

mystery

in

that

her

future position,

relationship

to

the

Antichrist,

and

ultimate

destruction

by

the

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 62 of 122

**Antichrist** 

had

not

been

known

before

John's

vision.

Evidently

Babylon

will

exert

influence

or

control

over

seven

nations,

the

Antichrist's

growing

empire,

and

eventually

the

entire

earth.

These

keys

do

not unlock

some

mystical

system

of

religion that

will

infiltrate

the

world.

Rather,

they

open the

door

of

prophecy

on.

а

brick-

and-

mortar

city

intoxicated

with

power

and

luxury.

The

Babylon

in

these

chapters,

63 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM though

it

might

have

religious

aspects,

is

one

that

will

exist

geographically

and

politically.

The

relationship

to

the

Old

Testament

prophecies

on

Babylon.

An

examination

of

Revelation

17-18

shows

that

there

is

but

one

Babylon

in

view.

That

Babylon

is

а

city

that

will

extend

its

control

throughout

the

world.

However,

the

city

itself still

needs

to

be

identified.

Chapters

17

and

18

provide

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 64 of 122

little

insight

by

themselves

into

the

identity

of

the

city,

but

through

а

comparison

with

other

passages

а

positive

identification

is

possible.

The

key

to

identifying

the

Babylon

of

Revelation

17-18

is

to

isolate

and

interpret

the

Old

Testament

themes

John

was

drawing

on

in

these

chapters.

One

central

Old

Testament

passages

on

which

Revelation

17-18

is

constructed

is

Jeremiah

<u>5</u>0-51.

This

is

the

passage

to

which

John

alluded

most

frequently.

John's

use

of

Jeremiah

50-51

can

be

observed

by listing

the

many

parallels

between

the

passages.

These

parallels

fall

into

three

categories:

the

description,

the

destruction,

and

the

response.

Each

category

will

be

presented

in

chart

form.

Following

the

chart

will

be а

brief

analysis

of

the

significance

of

those

parallels.

The

Description

"The Compared "Babylon

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 66 of 122

to a golden cup	was a gold cup in the LORD's hand" (Jer. 51:7a),	woman held a golden cup in her hand" (Rev. 17:4; cf. 18:6).
Dwelling on many Waters	"You who live by () many waters" (Jer. 51:13).	"Come, I will show you the punishment of the great Prostitute who sits on Many waters" (Rev. 17:1)
Involved with nations	The nations drank her wine; therefore, they have now gone mad" (Jer. 51:7b).	"and the inhabitants of the earth were intoxicated with the wine of her adulteries" (Rev. 17:2b).
Named the same	"This is the word the LORD Spoke concerning Babylon and the land of the Babylonians" (Jer. 50:1).	"Babylon the great" (Rev. (17:5) "Woe! Woe, O great city, O Babylon, city of power" (Rev. 18:10).

The

Babylon

of

Jeremiah

50-51

and

the

Babylon

of

Revelation

17-18

are

described

similarly.

Both

are

described

in

terms

of

а

golden

cup

that

influences

the

nations

that

partake

of

its

contents.

Both

are

also said

to

dwell

on

many

waters. Obviously

John

was

employing

the

terminology

used

by

Jéremiah.

Jeremiah

was

prophesying

the

destruction

of

the

literal

city

of

Babylon,

and

John

was

prophesying

```
the destruction of a city with the same name.
```

## The Destruction

"Therefore in one day her "Babylon plagues will will suddenly overtake Destroyed fall her: suddenly and be death, broken" mourning Jer. 51:8). and famine" (Rev. 18:10). "The beast and the ten horns will eat her flesh "Her and burn Destroyed dwellings her with by fire are set on fire .... fire" (Jer. She will 51:30). be consumed by fire" (Rev. 17:16; 18:8). "With "It will Never to never such great be again be violence inhabited

inhabited" the great

	(Jer. 50:39).	city of Babylon will be thrown down, never to be found again" (Rev. 18:21). "Give back to
Punished according to	"Repay her for her deeds: do deeds to her as she has done" (Jer. 50:29).	her as she has given; pay her back double for
Fall illustrated	"When you finish reading this scroll, tie a stone to it and throw it into the Euphrates. Then say, 'So will Babylon sink to rise no more'" (Jer. 51:63-64).	"Then a mighty angel picked up a boulder the size of a large millstone and threw it into the sea, and said: 'With such violence the great

city

Babylon

will be

thrown

down,

never to

be found

again' "

(Rev. 18:2

1).

John

and Jeremiah

each

described

а

city that

is

destroyed

suddenly

and

completely.

Α

city in

full

blossom

is

plucked

up never

to

reappear.

The

destruction is

meted

out by

God

for

past

deeds and

is

pictured

as а

rock

sinking

in

а

body

of

water

to

rise

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 71 of 122

no more.

The Response

"Then I heard "Flee from another Babylon! voice Run for from your heaven lives!" say: (Jer. 'Come 51:6). out of her, God's "Come my out of her people people, to flee my so that people! you will Run for not share your lives! in her Run sins, so from the that you fierce will not anger of receive the any of LORD" her (Jer. plagues" 51:45). (Rev. 18:4). "Then "Rejoice heaven over her, and earth O and all heaven! Heaven that is in Rejoice them will saints rejoice shout for and joy over apostles Babylon, and for out of prophets! the north God has destroyers judged will attack her for

72 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

her,' she declares the Lord" you" (Jer. 51:48). the way she wa

Jeremiah and John recorded the same response to the destruction of their city. Those on earth are warned to flee from the destruction that has now been promised. In heaven there is а call to rejoice, for the destruction signals God's victory over а godless

The ultimate identity of Babylon in Revelation 17-18

city.

73 of 122

depends

on

John's

use

of

Jeremiah's

prophecy.

Was

John

describing

the

same

event

or

simply

using

"biblical

language"

to

describe

а

different

event?

lt

was

shown

earlier

that

Jeremiah

50-51

describes

а

still-

future

destruction

of

the

literal

city of

Babylon. Jeremiah

directed

his

prophecy

against "Babylon

and

the

land of

the

Babylonians"

(50:1).

Às

noted

earlier

in

this

paper,

several

key elements

of

Jeremiah's

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 74 of 122

prophecy

have

never

been

fulfilled

literally.

John

predicted

the

destruction

of

а

city

wiťh

the

same

name

as

the

city

in

Jeremiah's

prophecy,

having

the

same

physical

characteristics

as

the

city in

Jeremiah's

prophecy,

and

destroyed

in

the

same

manner

as

the

city

in

Jeremiah's

prophecy.

In

addition to

Jeremiah

50-51,

John

also seems

to

be

borrowing

imagery

from

Zechariah

5:5-11.

Zechariah

saw

wickedness

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 75 of 122

personified

as

а

woman.

John

views

а

woman

who

"held

а

golden

cup

in

her

hand, filled

with

abominable

things

and

the

filth

of

her

adulteries"

(Rev.

17:4).

Zechariah

predicted

that

wickedness

would

one

day

dwell

again

in

Shinar, and

John

identifies

а

city

named

"Babylon

the

Great"

that he

describes

as

"the

mother

of

prostitutes

and

of

the

abominations

of

the

earth"

(Rev. 17:5).

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 76 of 122

Zechariah's

vision

implies

that

God

will

someday

allow

wickedness

to

become

reestablished

in

Babylon.

John

pictures Babylon

back

in

existence

and

describes

the

woman

as

the

source

of

all

wickedness

that

has

been

on

earth.

These

parallels

İead

to

the

conclusion

that

John,

Jeremiah,

and

Zechariah

are

pointing

to

the

future

destruction

of

the

same

city.

John so

identified

his

prophecy with

the

unfulfilled

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 77 of 122

prophecies

of

Jeremiah

that

the

association

is

unmistakable.

Therefore

the

identity

of

the

Babylon

Revelation

17-18

is

the

future

rebuilt

city

of

Babylon

on

the

**Euphrates** 

River

in

present-

day

Iraq. Babylon

will

once

again

be

restored

and

will

achieve

а

place

of.

worldwide

influence

only

to

be

destroyed

by

the

Antichrist

in

his

thirst

for

power.

### Conclusion

lt

is

this

author's

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 78 of 122

belief

that

the

Old

Testament

and

New

Testament

prophecies

of

Babylon,

when

interpreted

literally,

have

never

been

fulfilled.

There

has

never

been

а

time

historically

when

Babylon

has

been

totally

desolate

and

devoid

of

human

habitation.

Babylon's

fall

is

said

to

coincide

with

God's

restoration

of

His

people

and

their entering

into

an

everlasting

covenant

with

Him.

Perhaps

Babylon

can

serve

as

а

lesson

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 79 of 122

and

an

encouragement

dispensationalists.

Prophecies

that

appeared

incapable

of

having

а

literal

fulfillment

(whether

it

be

the

reestablishment

of

Israel

or

the

rebuilding

Babylon)

make

more

sense

as

the

time for

their

fulfillment

draws

closer.

#### Of

course,

literal

interpretation

is

not

the

exclusive

property

of

dispensationalists.

Most

conservatives

would

agree with

what

has

just

been

said.

What,

then,

is

the

difference

between

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 80 of 122

the dispensationalists' use of this hermeneutical principle and the nondispensationalists'? The difference lies in the fact that the dispensationalist claims to use the normal principle interpretation consistently

in

all his study of the

Bible.[89]

Those who hold to pretribulational rapture and dispensational theology

would do well

to

continue to

stress the literal

interpretation

of prophecy while reexamining their

own interpretations

81 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM to

make

sure

they

are

being

consistent

themselves.

The

literal

method

of

interpretation

must

remain

the

hallmark

of

dispensationalism.

The

rebuilding

of

Babylon

is

simply

another

example

of

how

literal

interpretation

can

unlock

God's

prophetic

Word.

# [1]

'Thus

Berkhof

devotes

а

chapter

grammatical

interpretation

and

а

second

chapter

to

historical

interpretation

(Louis

Berkhof, Principles

of

Biblical

Interpretation

[Grand

Rapids:

Baker

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 82 of 122

Book House, 1950], pp. 67-132). Mickelsen discusses "context," language," and "history and culture" in his section on general hermeneutics (A. **Berkeley** Mickelsen, Interpreting the Bible [Grand Rapids: Wm. B. **Eerdmans** Publishing Co., 19631, pp. 99-177).

<u>[2]</u> Mickelsen describes three possible approaches: (a) "literal fulfillment of all details," (b) "the symbolic meaning of an entire prophecy." and (c) "equivalents, analogy, correspondence" (Mickelson, Interpreting

83 of 122

the Bible, pp. 296-98). He opts for the third method because а literal interpretation of passages such as Ezekiel 40-48 "should be abhorrent to everyone who takes seriously the message of the book of Hebrews" (Ibid., p. 298).

# <u>[3]</u>

The literal interpretation of Scripture readily admits the very large place which figurative language has in the

Literal

interpretation

Scriptures

does not mean

84 of 122

painful, or wooden, or unbending literal rendition of every word and phrase" . (Bernard Ramm, Protestant Biblical Interpretation, revised ed. [Boston: W. A. Wilde Co., 1956], p. 141).

# <u>[4]</u>

"it

becomes

clear

from

these

late

church

fathers

that

Jerome,

Vincent,

and

Augustine

paved

the

way for

two

emphases

that

were

to

endure

for

more than

а

thousand

years-

allegorization

and

church

authority"

(Roy

È.

85 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM Zuck,

Basic

Bible

Interpretation

[Wheaton,

ĬL:

Victor

Books,

19911,

p. 41).

Ramm

says,

'The

allegorical

system

that

arose

among

the

pagan

Greeks,

copied

by

the

Alexandrian

Jews,

was

next

adopted

by

the

Christian

church

and

largely

dominated

exegesis

until

the

Reformation..

(Ramm,

Protestant

Biblical

Interpretation,

p. 28).

[5]

Jaroslav

Pelikan,

ed.

Luther's

Works,

Vol.

16,

Lectures

on

Isaiah

1-39

(Saint

Louis:

Concordia

86 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM Publishing House, 1969), pp. 136-37.

### <u>[6]</u>

Theodore G.

Tappert,

ed.,

Luther's

Works,

Vol.

54,

Table

Talk,

"Beware

of

Melancholy

and

Trust God,"

No.

461, February

19, 1533

(Philadelphia:

Fortress

Press,

1967),

pp. 76-77.

# [7]

Eric

W.

Gritsch,

ed.,

Luther's

Works,

Vol.

41,

Church

and

Ministry

III, "Against

Hanswurst,"

(Philadelphia:

Fortress

Press,

1966),

pp. 206-7.

# <u>[8]</u>

lbid.,

"Against

the

Roman

Papacy,

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 87 of 122

An Institution of the Devil," pp. 273-74.

#### <u>[9]</u>

John Calvin, Institutes of the Christian Religion, trans. Henry Beveridge (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. **Eerdmans** Publishing Co., 1962), 2:313-14.

### [<u>10</u>]

Luther, Isaiah 1-39, p. 133.

### [11]

lbid.,p. 138.

[<u>12</u>] Josh McDowell, comp. Evidence That Demands Verdict: Historical Evidences for the Christian Faith (Arrowhead Springs, CA: Campus Crusade

for Christ International,

88 of 122

1972), р. 319. The specific prophecies are: (a) Babylon to be like Sodom and Gomorrah, (b) never inhabited again, (c) tents will not be placed there by Arabs, (d) sheepfolds will not be there, (e) désert creatures will infest the ruins, (1) stones will not be removed for other construction projects, (g) the ancient city wiĺ not be frequently visited, and (h) covered

with swamps

89 of 122

of water (Ibid., p. 315).

[<u>13</u>]

One

example among

many

is

Otto

Kaiser

who

dates

Isaiah

13

to

the

postexilic

period

because

of

its

description

of

Babylon's

fall

to

Cyrus. "An

older,

late

pre-

exilic

or

more

probably

exilic

prophecy

may

lie

behind

13:2-22.

In

its

present

form

it

is

postexilic,

and

its

outlook

allows

us

to

describe

it

as

proto-

apocalyptic.

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 90 of 122

The

taunt

on

the

fall

of

the

tyrant

in

14:b-21

is

also

likely

to

be

а

product

of

the

post-

exilic

period.

İnterest

in

the fate

of

Babylon

did

not

come

to

an

end with

the

conquest

of

the

city

by Cyrus

in

the

year 539"

(Otto Kaiser,

Isaiah

13-39.

Commentary,

trans.

by R.

A. Wilson, The

Old

Testament

Library [Philadelphia: Westminster

Press, 1974],

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 91 of 122

```
p.
2).
[<u>14</u>]
In
fact,
Babylon
was
first
on
Sennacherib's
list
of
rebellious
cities
to
attack.
"In
my
first
campaign
accomplished
the
defeat
of
Merodach-
baladan,
king
of
Babylonia.
(Daniel
David
Luckenbill,
The
Annals
of
Sennacherib,
2
vols.
[Chicago:
University
of
Chicago
Press,
19241,
2:24).
[<u>15</u>]
1bid.,
2:84.
[<u>16</u>]
Ibid.,
2:161.
[<u>17]</u>
The
International
Standard
```

Bible

Encyclopedia..

92 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

1979 ed., S.V., "Babylon," by Ď. J. Wiseman, 1:385.

### [<u>18</u>]

A. K. Grayson, Assyrian

and

Babylonian Chronicles

in

Texts

from

Cuneiform

Sources,

ed.

A. Leo

Oppenheim

(Locust

Valley,

NY:

J. J.

Augustin

Publisher,

1975),

pp. 109-10.

# [<u>19</u>]

James

B.

Pritchard,

Ancient

Near

Eastern

**Texts** 

Relating

to the

Old

Testament,

3d

ed. (Princeton, NJ:

Princeton

University

Press,

1969),

р. 316.

[<u>20</u>]

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 93 of 122

Herodotus 3.159.

### [<u>21</u>]

Ibid.

1.180-81.

**Italics** 

added

to

emphasize

verb

tenses.

# <u>[22]</u>

lb1d.

181. "In

the

midmost

of

one

division

stands

the

royal

palace,

surrounded

by

a high and

strong wall;

and

in

the

midmost

of

the

other

is still

to

this

day

the

sacred enclosure

of

Zeus

Belus,

square of

two

furlongs

each

way, with

gates

of

bronze.

In

the

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 94 of 122

of this enclosure а solid tower has been built, of one furlong's length and breadth; а second tower

centre

from this,

rises

and

from it

yet

another, till

at

last

there

are

eight."

### [<u>23</u>]

Arrian

Anabasis of

Alexander 7.17.1.

Strabo

(63

B.C.-A.D.

24)

seems to

agree with

Arrian

when

he

writes, "Here

too

is

the tomb

of

Belus, now

in

ruins, having

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 95 of 122

been

demolished

by

Xerxes,

as

it

is

said.

lt

was

quadrangular pyramid

of

baked

brick,

not

only

being

stadium

in

height,

but

also

having

sides

а

stadium

in

length" (Strabo

Geography 16.1.5).

However,

Strabo's

account

is

both

late

and

unreliable.

He

confuses

the

temple

of

Marduk

with the

tower

of

Babel.

Based

on

his

knowledge

of

Egypt

he

assumes

that

the

tower

structure

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 96 of 122

marked a tomb (as did the Egyptian pyramids).

#### [<u>24</u>]

Strabo Geography 16.1.5.

[25] Piai-Anabasis of Alexander 7.14.8.

# [<u>26</u>]

Joan Oates, Babylon, revised ed. (New York: Thames and Hudson, 1986). pp. 159-60.

# <u>[27]</u>

Anaba.sis of Alexander 7.19.4.

# [<u>28]</u>

So Oates writes, "The Greek theatre in Babylon was first built at or not long after the time

of

97 of 122

Alexander

and

was

reconstructed

under

his

Seleucid

successors"

(Oates,

Babylon,

p. 143).

### [<u>29</u>]

Pritchard,

Ancient

Near

Eastern

Texts,

р. 317.

An inscription

from

the

time

of

Antlochus

reads

in

part, "I

am

**Antiochus** 

(An-

ti-'uku-

us),

the

great

king,

the

legitimate

king,

the

king

of the

world,

king

of

Babylon

(Eu),

king of

all

countries,

the

caretaker

of

the

temples

of

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 98 of 122

Esagila and

Ezlda,

the

first(-born)

son

of

king Seleucus

(Si-

Ìu-

uk-

ku), the

Macedonian

(a-'Ma

ak-

ka-

du-

na-

a-a),

king of

Babylon."

### [<u>30</u>]

So

Strabo

writes,

"Now

in

ancient

times

Babylon

was

the

metropolis;

but

Seleuceia

is

the

metropolis now,

mean

the

Seleucela

on

the

Tigris

as

it is

called.

Near

by

is

situated

village

called

Ctesiphon,

large

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 99 of 122

village. This village

the

kings

of

the

**Parthians** 

were

wont

to

make

their

winter

residence,

thus

sparing

the

Seleuceians,

in

order

that

the

Seleuceians

might

not

be

oppressed

by

having

the

Scythian

folk

or

soldiery

quartered

amongst

them.

Because

of

Parthian

power,

therefore,

Ctesiphon

is

а

city rather

than

village..

(Strabo Geography

16.1.16).

#### [<u>31</u>]

Josephus

Antiquities

of

the

**Jews** 

15.2.2.

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 100 of 122

# <u>[32]</u>

William Whitson, trans. Josephus. Complete Works (Grand Rapids: Kregel Publications, 1978), p.

# [<u>33</u>]

. 315.

Josephus Antiquities 18.9.8.

#### [<u>34</u>]

Ibid.

### [<u>35</u>]

Ibid.18.9.9.

# [<u>36</u>]

Strabo Geography 16.1.5.

#### [<u>37]</u>

Ibid. 16.1.6.

### [<u>38]</u>

Pliny Natural History 6.30.121-22.

#### [<u>39</u>]

So Selwyn writes that Babylon is "a soubriquet for Rome

The objection to the Mesopotamian Babylon being

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 101 of 122

intended

is

that

there

is

no

local

tradition

of

any Apostle

other

than

St. Thomas

being associated

with

those

parts

.... In

the

case

of

Peter,

reasons

of

prudence

may

have

dictated

the

use

of the

symbolic

name,

as

the

letter

might

have

to

pass

the

censorship

of

police

officers"

(Edward Gordon

Selwyn,

The

First

Epistle

of St. Peter

[New

York: Macmillan

& Co.,

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 102 of 122

1964], p. 243).

[<u>40]</u>

wuest

cites

six

reasons

for

understanding

Babylon

in

its

literal

sense.

One

reason

is

that

"the

other

geographical

references

in

First

Peter

have

ia-

undoubtedly

the

literal

meaning,

and

it

would

be

natural

to

expect

that

Peter's

use

of

the

name Babylon'

would

be

literal

also"

(Kenneth

Š.

Wuest,

Wuest's

Word

Studies

[Grand

Rapids: Wm.

В.

Eerdmans

Publishing

Co.,

103 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

19661, 2:132-33).

#### <u>[41]</u>

Cassius Dio Cocceianus Dio's Roman History 68.30.

# <u>[42]</u>

Ibid.

# [<u>43</u>]

Ibid. 68.1-3.

# <u>[44]</u>

The
Travels
of
Rabbi
Benjamin
of
Tudela.
A.D.
1160-1173,"
Thomas
Wright,
ed.

Travels in Palestine, reprint ed. (New York: KTAV

Early

Publishing House, 1968),

p. 100.

# [<u>45</u>]

As cited by Thomas Newton, Dissertations on the Prophecies (London, J. F.

Dove,

104 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

n.d.), pp. 140-41.

[<u>46]</u>

**Pilgrims** 

and

other

travelers

from

the

west

would

journey

inland

through

what

is

today Syria

until

they

reached

the

**Euphrates** 

River.

They

would

float

downriver

to

Αl

Fallujah

and

then travel

due

east

approximately

40

miles

to

Baghdad. For

а

map

showing

Al Fallujah

and

describing

its

historic

significance

see

Lands

of

the

Bible

Today

with Descriptive

Notes

(Washington,

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 105 of 122

DC: National Geographic Society, 1967).

### <u>[47]</u>

Robert Koldewey, The Excavations Babylon, trans. By Anges S. Johns (London: Macmillan and Co., 1914), p. 22.

#### [<u>48</u>]

lbid., fig. See map on next page.

### [<u>49</u>]

L. Glynne Dairos, Assistant Secretary of the British School of Archaeology in

Iraq, to

Charles

H.

Dyer,

Dallas,

15 August

1978.

Personal

files

of

Charles

Η.

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 106 of 122

Dyer, Dallas Texas.

### [<u>50</u>]

New York Times International, April 19, 1989, p. 4-Y.

#### [<u>51</u>]

Washington Post, December 1, 1986, p. A-11.

<u>[52]</u> Starting the festival on the day thát Iraq began the war by invading Iran was, as the Baghdad Observer, the official Englishlanguage newspaper in Iraq, observed, "not а mere coincidence" (Baghdad Observer,

September

23, 1987, p.

107 of 122

#### 1).

# [<u>53</u>]

Baghdad Observer, September 23, 1987, p. 2.

# [<u>54</u>]

Quote attributed to Saddam Hussein in Babylon International Festival brochure for September 22, 1987.

#### [<u>55</u>]

Paul Lewis, "Dollars Can Still Get You Scotch and Waterford Crystal in Baghdad," NewYork Ttrnes, Mayl2, 1991, р. 10.

### [<u>56]</u>

Personal correspondence from Dr. Mouayyad Said Damerji, Head of the Babylon Festival Organizing Committee, to

108 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

Dr. Charles H. Dyer, 27 August 1992.

[<u>57]</u> Personai correspondence from Khalid J. Shewayish, Chief of Iraq Interests Section at the **Embassy** of the Republic

of Algeria,

to

Dr.

Charles H.

Dyer, 28

June

1993.

# [<u>58]</u>

John

A.

Martin,

Isaiah,"

The

Bible

Knowledge

Commentary,

Old

Testament

(Wheaton,

ÌL:

Victor

Books, 1985),

p. 1062.

# [<u>59]</u>

lbid.,

p. 1058.

[<u>60]</u>

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 109 of 122

Ibid., p. 1061. [<u>61</u>] Luckenbill, The Annals of Sennacherib, 2:23. [<u>62</u>] Ibid., р. 85. [63] Ibid., р. 24. [<u>64]</u> Ibid., p. 35.s [<u>65</u>] Ibid., "Excerpts from the Babylonian Chronicle," pp. 158-61. For concise listing of the rulers and their dates see Faraj Basmachi, Treasures of the Iraq Museum (Baghdad: Ministry of Information,

Directorate General of

Antiquities,

1976), P. 84.

## [<u>66]</u>

Kaiser, Isaiah 13-23, p.

[67] Ibid.

р. 9.

# [<u>68]</u>

Pritchard, Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament, p. 316.

## [<u>69</u>]

Grayson, Assyrian and Babylon Chronicles in Text from Cuneiform Sources, pp. 109-10.

#### [<u>70]</u>

Baldwin, though she sees a slightly different chiastic structure in the eight night visions, notes the presence

111 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

of chiasm throughout the Book of Zechariah (Joyce G. Baldwin, Haggal, Zechaah. Malachi, The Tyndale Óld Testament Commentaries [Downers Grove, IL: Inter-Varsity Press, 19721, pp. 80-81, 92-93). Instead of the pattern а b С d d С b' а she sees the pattern abbccbba (Ibid., p.80).

### [<u>71</u>]

The difference between "appearance /resemblance" (NASB, KJV) and "wickedness" (NW) ls based on а

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 112 of 122

textual variation. Ken Barker presents а succinct summary of the problem and the likely solution. ('lam) presents а textcritical problem. Às it stands, it means "their eye" [i.e., their appearance), which does not yield а good sense (cf. the parallel in V. 8, where the woman in the basket is interpreted as wickedness personified). NW, probably correctly, follows one Hebrew MS, the LXX,

and the Syrlac in reading ('an-, "their iniquity"). (The pronominal suffix refers to the people, perhaps with special reference to the godless rich.) The only significant variation between these two readings is the waw instead of the yod. Éven here it should be borne in mind that in many ancient Hebrew MSS the only perceptible difference between the two letters is the length of

the downward stroke. Α long yod and а short waw are virtually indistinguishable" (Kenneth L. Barker, "Zechariah," In The Expositor's Bible Commentary, vol. 7, Daniel-Minor **Prophets** [Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1985], p. 635).

## [<u>72</u>]

Barker concludes that Shinar "roughly corresponded to ancient Babylonia" (Barker, "Zechariah," p. 635).

# [<u>73</u>]

Baidwln assumes the reference must be to a temple. "Another temple will

115 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM

be erected, perhaps а ziggurat like the tower of Babel. (Baldwin, Haggai, Zechariah, Malachi, p. 129). Barker, though more tentative in his identification, still suggests that the word is "perhaps referrin to а temple ziggurat" (Barker, "Zecharlah," p. 635).

[<u>74</u>] Francis Brown, S. R. Driver, and Charles A. Briggs, Α Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament, S.V., pp.

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 116 of 122

108-10.

[75]

Kenneth

W.

Allen,

The

Rebuilding

and

Destruction

of

Babylon,"

Bibliotheca

Sacra

133

(January-

March,

1976):25.

#### <u>[76]</u>

Ibid.,

p.26.

# [<u>77</u>]

In

observing

these

different

responses

Tenney

comments,

"Why

should

the

kings

both

hate

her

and

then

bewail

her

fate

at

their hands?

Perhaps

the

explanation

lies

in

the

difference

between

religious anď

commercial Babylon"

(Merrill

Ċ.

Tenney, Interpreting

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 117 of 122

Revelation [Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 19571, p. 85).

### [<u>78</u>]

George Eldon Ladd, A Commentary on the Revelation of

(Grand Rapids: Wm.

Wm B.

John

Eerdmans Publishing

Publishing

Co., 1972),

р. 235.

# [<u>79]</u>

Allen,
"The
Rebuilding
and
Destruction
of
Babylon,"

р. 26.

[<u>80</u>] Ladd,

A Commentary

on the

Revelation

of

John, p. 244.

#### [<u>81</u>]

Merrill

C.

Tenney, Interpreting Revelation,

р. 83.

# [<u>82]</u>

Robert

H.

Mounce, The

Book

of

Revelation

(Grand

Rapids: Wm.

В.

**Eerdmans** 

**Publishing** 

Co., 1977),

P.

307.

#### [83]

Archibald

Thomas

Robertson,

Word

**Pictures** 

in

the New

Testament,

6

vols.

(Nashville:

Broadman

Press,

1933),

6:43Ó.

### [84]

lbid.

Robertson

wrote,

"In

either

case the

meaning

is

the

same,

that the

name

Babylon

is to

be

interpreted

mystically

or

spiritually

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 119 of 122

(cf. prteymatik 11:8) for Rome."

[<u>85]</u>

The

New

Bible

Dictionary,

1974

ed.,

S.V.

"Mystery,"

by S.

S.

Smalley,

p. 856.

Barker

agrees

with

Smalley. "The

Greek

term,

however,

refers

to

а mystery

of

divine

nature

that

remains

hidden

from

human

beings

because

their

normal

powers

of

comprehension

are

insufficient.

Nonetheless,

these

mysteries

are

intended

for

human

beings

and

when

known prove

profitable

to

120 of 122 1/24/22, 5:19 PM them" (The **Înternational** Standard Bible Encyclopedia, 1986 ed., s.v., "Mystery," by G. W. Berker, 3:451-52).

[<u>86]</u> Mounce writes, "There is little doubt that а firstcentury reader would understand this reference in any way other than

as а

reference

to

Rome, the

city

built upon

seven hills"

(Mounce, The

Book

of

Revelation,

pp. 313-14).

### [87]

Ferrel Jenkins, The Old

Testament

in the

1/24/22, 5:19 PM 121 of 122

Book of Revelation (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1976), P. 22.

# [<u>88]</u>

Ladd, A Commentary on the Revelation of John, p. 229.

# [<u>89]</u>

Charles
Caidwell
Ryrie,
Dispensationalism
Today
(Chicago:
Moody
Press,
1965),
p.
89.

1/24/22, 5:19 PM