

KHCB – Q & A – August 25, 2022

The transcript contains the Questions received – parenthesis indicate where in the broadcast the question is asked:

Questions

1 – (04:03) – Hi, good evening. I have a quick question. It's regarding I Samuel 15, if I'm not mistaken, where God told King Saul to kill I believe the Amalekites. I'm not sure exactly. Anyways, my question is, why did God ordain or told King Saul to kill? I know it says that to kill babies and all families. He tried to explain how does that not affect the character of God in terms of his love and his mercy? Thank you.

2 – (10:44) – Yeah, the scripture comes from Revelation 5, verse 4, and of course, you can read it for yourself. The question I have is this, if there had been no one to open the scrolls, which caused John to weep much. And if there had been nobody to open the scrolls which left John a weeping person, which would have ended the Bible at Revelation 5:4, because there would have been no one that could open the scrolls that would finish the rest of the revelation. Winding up with a new heaven, a new earth with all the evil stamped out in between. My question is, if that was our Bible today, ending with Revelation 5:4, would we weep much for the fact that God has been thwarted? God's purpose cannot be fulfilled because there's no man worthy to open the scrolls. Or would we have the attitude that, well, it's no big deal, nobody could open the scrolls. It really doesn't matter anyway, because this Jesus thing and this new heaven and new earth thing seem too good to be true anyway. Hey, that's my question. Thank you.

3 – (15:41) – Yes, Hebrews chapter 2. No, this is chapter 2, verse 16. And it's talking about Jesus, where it says “*Truly he took not on him the nature of angels, but he took on him the seed of Abraham*”. So now Mary and Elizabeth were cousins. They were Levites. So here's the question. If Jesus had no earthly father and his mother is a Levite, how can he be a Jew? Do you understand the question?

4 – (17:53) – Hey, thank you, guys, for handling the word of God correctly and teaching us. Hey, Pastor. Dr. Woods, I was wanting to know about in the Book of Jude, it talks about the apostasy. And I was just trying to get clarification, if that's different. See, I bought your book *The Falling Away*, so I wanted to see if you could help me to clarify the difference between the falling away and Thessalonians. And then the Book of Jude talks about apostasy. So my question is, is apostate - they are ones that never believed the Word of God or believed in Jesus. I believe if I'm correct, and I would think that Judas Iscariot was an example of that. So I was just trying to get a little clarification on apostasy and the falling away that's mentioned in the book of the Thessalonians.

5 – (23:23) – Yes. Good evening. Allow me to paint the canvas with broad strokes before I get into my question, please. The phrase “separate but equal” describes the legal philosophy in U.S. constitutional law, in which the black race and white race were segregated, yet with so-called

equal protections and so-called equal public accommodations. Thankfully, this was shut down by Supreme Court. Yet I'm painfully aware that the elephant is still in the room sadly, within the body of Christ. The most segregated day of the week happens to be Sunday. And I hoist that reason to not merely be because of neighborhood demographics, but perhaps due to an anti-Gospel and anti-Christ doctrine labeled by James' Epistle as a spirit of partiality - a very paralyzing malignant tumor protruding out of the bride of Jesus Christ. So my question is to the moderator and also to the highly esteemed teacher. It's a question of personal application. It stems from Galatians chapter 2 verses 11 through 13 - Peter's lack of table manners concerning the Gentiles, so to speak. The question is, what is it that you personally are doing to engage with interest and adoration a black minority or Mexican minority or an Asian minority within your church? It's not a question of hidden accusations, just curiosity. Thank you.

6 – (34:21) – Hi. Thank you for taking questions. My question is regarding the crown of rejoicing. And the verses that I'm looking at is in I Thessalonians 2 where it says, *“When you receive the word of God, which you heard from us, you accepted it not as the word of men, but for what it really is, the word of God”*. And then in verse 19, it says, *“For who is our hope or joy or crown of exaltation. Is this not even you in the presence of our Lord Jesus at His coming for you are our glory and joy”*. So I take this verse to mean that the crown or the reward that we get from that crown is actually in fact the presence of those that we shared the Gospel with and received Christ. The fact that they are there with us in Heaven and like I could see no greater joy and reward than to have, you know, those people in heaven. But my question is - what if the ones that you love the most and share the Gospel with, but they do not accept the Gospel. They do not you know, they are not saved. And so even with your best efforts, so does that mean that you will not receive that crown? You will not receive those rewards? Because like, to me, the reward is the seeing of those people in heaven. And so will you suffer loss if you are unsuccessful?

7 – (41:51) – I have a question. I think I'm a little confused. When a saved Christian dies, does that person go to heaven and then come back to reign with Christ? Come back to earth? Is that how it is?

8 – (43:51) – My question is related to. That passage at the end of the Book of Revelation. Revelation 22, I think. That it says blessed are those 22:14. Blessed are those who do his commandments that they may have the right to the Tree of Life and may enter through the gates into the city. But outside are dogs and sorcerers and sexually immoral and murderers and idolatrous, and whoever loves and practices a lie. I understand that Salvation Is by faith alone in Christ alone plus nothing. But think with me here, Dr. Woods, of a person who professes this belief, Christ alone, faith alone plus nothing. But lives a life of immorality, lives a life of homosexuality or lesbianism. Imagine an individual that believes in Jesus Christ, but lives his life in a habitual lifestyle of sin. Like this passage says he practices a lie. In other words, he has a life that is dominated by falsehood essentially. So, but this individual has come to faith in Christ, but he dies in that condition. So I guess I know what your answer will be, but I'm trying to understand the significance of this passage. How can this individual that dies in that condition

and has received Jesus Christ as his savior, by faith. But he dies in this condition. This passage says that outside would be these who practice these things. And I call the attention to the word practice. So it's a habitual lifestyle of sinfulness. But this individual has come to faith in Christ. So how do you reconcile this element and how do you explain this passage that says there in Revelation 22?

9 – (50:41) – Good evening, sir. Good. My question is, I know in the New Testament we know Jesus Christ died and he resurrected. Is there any evidence in the Old Testament that the Savior will die and wake up again with biblical references? And I'll hang up and I'll listen?

10 – (54:43) – Yes, sir. Just wanted to, I guess, ask for forgiveness in terms of coming across as insinuating racial epithets or attitudes. I tried to preface that by saying there was no hidden accusation. And then my verse of reference was Galatians 2:11 to 13. So I wasn't pulling a rabbit out of a hat. But I'll read that real quick, if you don't mind. Galatians 2:11-13. *“But when Cephas came to Antioch, I opposed him to his face because he stood condemned.”* I'm not trying to play Apostle Paul either in this, Okay. Verse 12 *“for prior to the coming of certain men from James, he used to eat with the Gentiles, but when they came he began to withdraw and hold himself aloof. Fearing the party of the circumcision, the rest of the Jews joined him in hypocrisy with the result that even Barnabas was carried away by their hypocrisy.”* Respectfully, gentlemen, brothers, it's. It's love. I just disagree in terms of how it was interpreted. I don't think Margaret Thatcher or Charles Darwin or the Liberal left had anything to do with Peter's behavior. It is a deed of the flesh. And if we're commanded to put to death the deeds of the flesh by taking off the old self and putting on the new self, that is done by the Spirit, but also giving the reality that these things are addressed they're made aware to people. Racism in all types of other issues, whether it be social status or gender, is a reality. You can't just abracadabra that way and say like, oh, there's not. Margaret Thatcher is not going to do anything to talk about that. It's something that needs to be dealt with because it's actually a deed of the flesh. It's not influenced by outward, it's influenced by the sinful nature which we're trying to be conformed to the image of Christ. So that's basically my main point, and I just ask a simple question of application what are you doing as it relates to that? It could be anything. So thank you.